arXiv:math/0005205v1 [math.AT] 22 May 2000

Absolute non-Archimedean polyhedral expansions of ultrauniform spaces.

S.V. Ludkovsky

17 May 2000

Theoretical Department, Institute of General Physics, Str. Vavilov 38, Moscow, 117942, Russia

1 Introduction.

To expansions of topological and uniform spaces as inverse limits several works were devoted [1, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 20]. Irreducible polyhedral expansions over the field **R** were very important in [5, 8, 12]. In the case of locally compact groups expansions into inverse limits of Lie groups were investigated in [18, 21]. Such inverse mapping systems expansions may be used for the proof of isomorphisms of definite locally convex spaces over the fields **R** or **C** [13, 14, 15]. In the case of ultrauniform hereditarily disconnected spaces polyhedral expansions over **R** do not take into account many features of such spaces.

This work is devoted to the investigation of the problem about inverse mapping systems expansions of ultrauniform spaces using polyhedra over non-Archimedean locally compact fields. In §2 preliminary results, definitions and notations are given. In §3 Theorems about expansions of complete ultrametric and ultrauniform spaces are proved. In §4 absolute polyhedral expansions and inverse mapping systems of expansions for non-complete spaces are investigated. There are elucidated cases, when in inverse mapping systems of expansions polyhedra are finite-dimensional over non-Archimedean fields **L**. In particular locally compact ultrauniform groups are considered. The main results are Theorems 3.18 and in §4.

The considered here topological spaces X are hereditarily disconnected and zero-dimensional, but in general they are not necessarily strongly zero-dimensional, that is this class has representatives with covering dimensions from 0 to ∞ (see Chapters 6 and 7 in [3]). This article also contains results about a relation of $dim(X)$ and dimensions of polyhedra over $\mathbf{L}$. With the help of polyhedral expansions it is shown in §5 that there exists a natural correspondence between ultrauniform X and uniform spaces Y, such that there exists a continuous quotient mapping $\theta : X \to Y$. That is for each X there exist θ and Y, vice versa for each Y there exist X and θ such that $d(X) = d(Y)$ and $w(X) = w(Y)$. Then X is dense in itself if and only if Y is such. Moreover, there are natural compactifications cX and $c_\theta Y$ such that θ has an extension on cX and $\theta(cX) = c_\theta Y$. On the other hand, it gives a relation between dimensions $dim_{\mathbf{L}} P$ of polyhedras P over $\mathbf{L}$ in an expansion and $dim[\theta(P)]$, also between $\max_{P_m} dim_{\mathbf{L}} P_m$ and $dim[\theta(X)]$. Then an important particular case of polyhedral expansions of manifolds modelled on non-Archimedean locally convex spaces is investigated. Few results from §3 and §4 were announced in [17].

2 Notations and preliminary results.

2.1. Let us recall that by an ultrametric space (X, ρ) is implied a set X with a metric ρ such that it satisfies the ultrametric inequality: $\rho(x, y) \le \max(\rho(x, z); \rho(z, y))$ for each x, y and $z \in X$. A uniform space X with ultrauniformity U is called an ultrauniform space (X, U) such that U satisfies the following condition: $|x - z| < V'$, if $|y - z| < V'$ and $|x - y| < V$, where $V \subset V' \in U$, x, y and $z \in X$ [3, 22]. If in X a family of pseudoultrametrics $\mathbf{P}$ is given and it satisfies conditions $(UP1, UP2)$, then it induces an ultrauniformity U due to Proposition 8.1.18 [3].

Let $\mathbf{L}$ be a non-Archimedean field [22]. We say that X is a $\mathbf{L}$-Tychonoff space, if X is a T_1-space and for each $F = \bar{F} \subset X$ with $x \notin F$ there exists a continuous function $f : X \to B(\mathbf{L}, 0, 1)$ such that $f(x) = 0$, $f(F) = \{1\}$, where $B(X, y, r) := \{z \in X : \rho(y, z) \le r\}$ for $y \in X$ and $r \ge 0$. From $ind(\mathbf{L}) = 0$ it follows that the small inductive dimension is $ind(X) = 0$ (see §6.2 and ch. 7 in [3]). Since the norm $| * |_{\mathbf{L}} : \mathbf{L} \to \tilde{\Gamma}_{\mathbf{L}}$ is continuous, then X is the Tychonoff space, where $\tilde{\Gamma}_{\mathbf{L}} := \{|x|_{\mathbf{L}} : x \in \mathbf{L}\} \subset [0, \infty)$. Vice versa if X is a Tychonoff space with $ind(X) = 0$, then it is also $\mathbf{L}$-Tychonoff, since there exists a clopen (closed and open at the same time) neighbourhood $W \ni x$ with $W \cap F = \emptyset$ and as the locally constant function f may be taken with $f(x) = 0$ and $f(X \setminus W) = \{1\}$.

Let us consider spaces $C(X, \mathbf{L}) := \{f : X \to \mathbf{L} | f \text{ is continuous } \}$ and $C^*(X, \mathbf{L}) := \{f \in C(X, \mathbf{L}) : |f(X)|_{\mathbf{L}} \text{ is bounded in } \mathbf{R}\}$, then for each finite family $\{f_1, ..., f_m\} \subset C(X, \mathbf{L})$ (or $C^*(X, \mathbf{L})$) the following pseudoultrametric is defined: $\rho_{f_1,...,f_m}(x, y) := \max(|f_j(x) - f_j(y)|_{\mathbf{L}} : j = 1, ..., m\}$. Families $\mathbf{P}$ or $\mathbf{P}^*$ of such $\rho_{f_1,...,f_m}$ induce ultrauniformities C or C^* respectively and the initial topology on X. If a sequence $\{V_j : j = 0, 1, ...\} \subset U$ is such that $V_0 = X^2$, $pV_{j+1} \subset V_j$ for $j = 1, 2, ...$, where p is a prime number, then there exists a pseudoultrametric ρ such that $\rho(x, y) := 0$ for $(x, y) \in \bigcap_{j=0}^{\infty} V_j$, $\rho(x, y) = p^{-j}$ for $(x, y) \in V_j \setminus V_{j+1}$, so $V_i \subset \{(x, y) : \rho(x, y) \le p^{-i}\} \subset V_{i-1}$. Indeed, from $(x, y) \in V_i \setminus V_{i+1}$ and $(y, z) \in V_j \setminus V_{j+1}$ for $j \ge i$ it follows $(x, z) \in V_i$ and $\rho(x, z) \le p^{-i} = \rho(x, y)$. Therefore, ultrauniform spaces may be equivalently characterised by U or $\mathbf{P}$ (see §8.1.11 and §8.1.14 in [3]).

Henceforth, locally compact non-discrete non-Archimedean infinite fields $\mathbf{L}$ are considered. If the characteristic $char(\mathbf{L}) = 0$, then due to [23] for each such $\mathbf{L}$ there exists a prime number p such that $\mathbf{L}$ is a finite algebraic extension of the field $\mathbf{Q_p}$ of p-adic numbers. If $char(\mathbf{L}) = p > 0$, then $\mathbf{L}$ is isomorphic with the field $\mathbf{F_p}(\theta)$ of the formal power series by the indeterminate θ, where p is the prime number, each $z \in \mathbf{L}$ has the form $z = \sum_{j=k(z)}^{+\infty} a_j \theta^j$ with $a_j \in \mathbf{F_p}$ for each j, $|z|_{\mathbf{L}} = p^{-k(z)}$ up to the equivalence of the non-Archimedean valuation, $k(z) \in \mathbf{Z}$, $\mathbf{F_p}$ is the finite field consisting of p elements, $\mathbf{F_p} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{L}$ is the natural embedding.

For an ordinal A with its cardinality $m = card(A)$ by $c_0(\mathbf{L}, A)$ it is denoted the following Banach space with vectors $x = (x_a : a \in A, x_a \in \mathbf{L})$ of a finite norm $\|x\| := \sup_{a \in A} |x_a|_{\mathbf{L}}$ and such that for each $b > 0$ a set $\{a \in A : |x_a|_{\mathbf{L}} \ge b\}$ is finite. It has the orthonormal in the non-Archimedean sense basis $\{e_j := (\delta_{j,a} : a \in A) : j \in A\}$, where $\delta_{j,a} = 1$ for $j = a$ and $\delta_{j,a} = 0$ for each $j \ne a$ [22]. If $card(A_1) = card(A_2)$ then $c_0(\mathbf{L}, A_1)$ is isomorphic with $c_0(\mathbf{L}, A_2)$. In particular $c_0(\mathbf{L}, n) = \mathbf{L^n}$ for $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Then $card(A)$ is called the dimension of $c_0(\mathbf{L}, A)$ and it is denoted by $card(A) = dim_{\mathbf{L}} c_0(\mathbf{L}, A)$.

Let U be a uniform covering of $(X, \mathbf{P})$ (see §8.1 in [3]), then the collection $\{St(U, \mathsf{U}) : U \in \mathsf{U}\} =: {}^*\mathsf{U}$ is called the star of U. If ${}^*\mathsf{U}$ is a refinement of a uniform covering V, then U is called the star refinement of V.

2.2. Lemma. *Let (X, ρ) be an ultrametric space, then there exists an ultrametric ρ' equivalent to ρ such that $\rho'(X, X) \subset \tilde{\Gamma}_{\mathbf{L}}$.*

Proof. Let $\rho'(x,y) := \sup_{b\in \tilde{\Gamma}_{\mathbf{L}}, b\le \rho(x,y)} b$, where x and $y \in X$, either $\mathbf{L} \supset \mathbf{Q_p}$ or $\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{F_p}(\theta)$. Then ρ' is the ultrametric such that $\rho'(x,y) \le \rho(x,y) \le p\times \rho'(x,y)$ for each x and $y \in X$.

2.3. Lemma. *Let $(X,\mathbf{P})$ be an ultrauniform space, then there exists a family $\mathbf{P}'$ such that $\rho'(X,X) \subset \tilde{\Gamma}_{\mathbf{L}}$ for each $\rho' \in \mathbf{P}'$; $(X,\mathbf{P})$ and $(X,\mathbf{P}')$ are uniformly isomorphic, the completeness of one of them is equivalent to the comleteness of another.*

Proof. In view of Lemma 2.2 for each $\rho \in \mathbf{P}$ there exists an equivalent pseudoultrametric ρ'. They form a family $\mathbf{P}'$. Evidently, the identity mapping $id : (X,\mathbf{P}) \to (X,\mathbf{P}')$ is the uniform isomorphism. The last statement follows from 8.3.20 [3].

2.4. Theorem. *For each ultrametric space (X,ρ) there exist an embedding $f : X \to B(c_0(\mathbf{L},A_X),0,1)$ and an uniformly continuous embedding into $c_0(\mathbf{L},A_X)$, where $card(A_X) = w(X)$, $w(X)$ is the topological weight of X.*

Proof. In view of Theorem 7.3.15 [3] there exists an embedding of X into the Baire space $B(m)$, where $m = w(X) \ge \aleph_0$. In the case $w(X) < \aleph_0$ this statement is evident, since X is finite. In view of Lemma 2.2 we choose in $B(m)$ an ultrametric ρ equivalent to the initial one with values in $\tilde{\Gamma}_{\mathbf{L}}$ such that $\rho(\{x_i\},\{y_i\}) = p^{-k}$, if $x_k \ne y_k$ and $x_i = y_i$ for $i < k$, $\rho(\{x_i\},\{y_i\}) = 0$, if $x_i = y_i$ for all i, where $\{x_i\} \in B(m)$, $i \in \mathbf{N}$, $x_i \in D(m)$, $D(m)$ denotes the discrete space of cardinality m. Let $A = \mathbf{N}\times C$, $card(C) = m$, $\{e_{i,a} | (i,a) \in A\}$ be the orthonormal basis in $c_0(\mathbf{L},A)$. For each $\{x_i\} \in B(m)$ we have $x_i \in D(m)$ and we can take $x_i = e_{i,a}$ for suitable $a = a(i)$, since $D(m)$ is isomorphic with $\{e_{i,a} : a \in C\}$. Let $f(\{x_i\}) := \sum_{i\in \mathbf{N}, a=a(i)} p^i e_{i,a}$, consequently, $\|f(\{x_i\}) - f(\{y_i\})\|_{c_0(\mathbf{L},A)} = \rho(\{x_i\},\{y_i\})$.

The last statement of the Theorem follows from the isometrical embedding of (X,ρ) into the corresponding free Banach space, which is isomorphic with $c_0(\mathbf{L},A)$ (see Theorem 5 in [15] and Theorems 5.13 and 5.16 in [22]), since each Banach space over a non-Archimedean spherically complete field $\mathbf{L}$ is isomorphic with $c_0(\mathbf{L},A)$ with the corresponding A. Instead of the free Banach space generated by (X,ρ') (see also Lemma 2.2), it can be used an embedding into the topologically dual space $\tilde{C}^0_b(X,\mathbf{L})^*$ to a space $\tilde{C}^0_b(X,\mathbf{L})$, which is the space of bounded continuous Lipschitz functions $f : X \to \mathbf{L}$ with $\|f\| := \max\{\sup_{x\in X} |f(x)|, \sup_{x\ne y\in X}(|f(x)-f(y)|/\rho(x,y))\} < \infty$. For each $S \subset X$ there exists a function $f \in \tilde{C}^0_b(X,\mathbf{L})$ such that $\rho'(x,S)/[1+\rho'(x,S)] \le |f(x)| \le p\rho'(x,S)/[1+\rho'(x,S)]$, where $\rho'(x,S) := \inf_{y\in S} \rho'(x,y)$. To each

$x \in X$ there corresponds a continuous functional G_x such that $G_x(f) = f(x)$, so $G_x(f) - G_y(f) = f(x) - f(y)$. In view of the $\mathbf{L}$-regularity of X we have $\|G_x - G_y\| = \rho(x, y)$.

2.5. Corollary. *Each ultrauniform space* $(X, \mathbf{P})$ *has a topological embedding into* $\prod_{\rho \in \mathbf{P}} B(c_0(\mathbf{L}, A_\rho), 0, 1)$ *and an uniform embedding into* $\prod_{\rho \in \mathbf{P}} c_0(\mathbf{L}, A_\rho)$ *with* $card(A_\rho) = w(X_\rho)$.

Proof. For each ultrametric $\rho \in \mathbf{P}$ of an ultrauniform space $(X, \mathbf{P})$ there exists the equivalence relation R_ρ such that $xR_\rho y$ if and only if $\rho(x, y) = 0$. Then there exists the quotient mapping $g_\rho : X \to X_\rho := (X/R_\rho)$, where X_ρ is the ultrametric space with the ultrametric also denoted by ρ, $\tilde{X}$ denotes the completion of X. Then X has the uniform embedding into the limit of the inverse spectra $\lim_\rho \tilde{X}_\rho = \tilde{X}$.

2.6. Corollary. *For each* $j \in \mathbf{N}$ *and* $f(X) \subset c_0(\mathbf{L}, A_X)$ *from Theorem 2.4 there are coverings* U_j *of the space* $f(X)$ *by disjoint clopen balls* B_l *with diameters not greater than* p^{-j} *and with* $\inf_{l \ne k} dist(B_l, B_k) > 0$.

Proof follows from the consideration of the covering of the Banach space $c_0(\mathbf{L}, A)$ by balls $B(c_0(\mathbf{L}, A), x, r)$ with $0 < r \le p^{-j}$ and $x \in c_0$, since such balls are either disjoint or one of them is contained in another and $\Gamma_\mathbf{L}$ is discrete in $(0, \infty)$, where $\Gamma_\mathbf{L} := \tilde{\Gamma}_\mathbf{L} \setminus \{0\}$. Then $\bigcup_{q \in J} B(c_0, x_q, r_q)$ is the clopen ball in c_0 with $r \le p^{-j}$, if all balls in the family J have non-void pairwise intersections. Taking $B(c_0, x, r) \cap f(X)$ we get the statement for $f(X)$ using the transfinite sequence of the covering. To satisfy Condition $\inf_{l \ne k} dist(B_l, B_k) > 0$ we take $r_l > r_0 > 0$ for each ball B_l, where r_0 is a fixed positive number.

2.7. Note. A simplex s in $\mathbf{R^n}$ may be taken with the help of linear functionals, for example, $\{e_j : j = 0, ..., n\}$, where $e_j = (0, ..., 0, 1, 0, ..., 0)$ with 1 in the j-th place for $j > 0$ and $e_0 = e_1 + ... + e_n$, $s := \{x \in \mathbf{R^n} : e_j(x) \in [0, 1]$ for $j = 0, 1, ..., n\}$. In the case of $\mathbf{L^n}$ or $c_0(\mathbf{L}, A)$, if to take $B(\mathbf{L}, 0, 1)$ instead of $[0, 1]$, then conditions $x_j := e_j(x) \in B(\mathbf{L}, 0, 1)$ for $j = 1, ..., n$ imply $e_0(x) = x_1 + ... + x_n \in B(\mathbf{L}, 0, 1)$ or $\sum_{j \in \lambda} e_j(x) \in B(\mathbf{L}, 0, 1)$ for each finite subset λ in A respectively due to the ultrametric inequality (since $B(\mathbf{L}, 0, 1,)$ is the additive group), that is $s = B(\mathbf{L^n}, 0, 1)$ or $s = B(c_0(\mathbf{L}, A), 0, 1)$ correspondingly. Moreover, its topological border is empty $Fr(s) = \emptyset$ and $Ind(Fr(s)) = -1$. Let us denote by $\pi_\mathbf{L}$ an element from $\mathbf{L}$ such that $B(\mathbf{L}, 0, 1^-) := \{x \in \mathbf{L} : |x|_\mathbf{L} < 1\} = \pi_\mathbf{L} B(\mathbf{L}, 0, 1)$ and $|\pi_\mathbf{L}|_\mathbf{L} = \sup_{b \in \Gamma_\mathbf{L}, b < 1} b =: b_\mathbf{L}$.

2.8. Definitions. (1). A subset P in $c_0(\mathbf{L}, A)$ is called a polyhedron if it is a disjoint union of simplexes s_j, $P = \bigcup_{j \in F} s_j$, where F is a set, $s_j = B(c_0(\mathbf{L}, A'), x, r) = x + \pi_{\mathbf{L}}^k B(c_0(\mathbf{L}, A'), 0, 1)$ are the clopen balls in $c_0(\mathbf{L}, A')$, $A' \subset A$, $r = b_{\mathbf{L}}^k$, $k \in \mathbf{Z}$. For each $\mathbf{L}$ we fix $\pi_{\mathbf{L}}$ and such affine transformations. The polyhedron P is called uniform if it satisfies conditions (i, ii):

(i) $\sup_{i \in F} diam(s_i) < \infty$,

(ii) $\inf_{i \neq j} dist(s_i, s_j) > 0$, where $dist(s, q) := \inf_{x \in s, y \in q} \rho(x, y)$.

By vertexes of the simplex $s = B(c_0(\mathbf{L}, A), 0, 1)$ we call points $x = (x_j) \in c_0(\mathbf{L}, A)$ such that $x_j = 0$ or $x_j = 1$ for each $j \in A$, $dim_{\mathbf{L}}(s) := card(A)$. For each $E \subset A$, $E \neq A$ and a vertex e by verge v of the simplex s we call a subset $e + B(c_0(\mathbf{L}, E), 0, 1) \subset s$. A mapping $f : C \to D$ is called affine, if $f(ax + by) = af(x) + bf(y)$ for each $a, b \in B(\mathbf{L}, 0, 1)$, $x, y \in C$, where C and D are absolutely convex subsets of vector spaces X and Y over $\mathbf{L}$. For an arbitrary simplex its verges and vertexes are defined with the help of affine transformation as images of verges and vertexes of the unit simplex $B(c_0(\mathbf{L}, A'), 0, 1)$.

Then in analogy with the classical case [2] there are naturally defined notions of a simplexial complex K and his space $|K|$, also a subcomplex and a simplexial mapping. The latter is characterized by the following conditions: its rectrictions on each simplex of polyhedra that are affine mappings over the field $\mathbf{L}$ and images of vertexes are vertexes. A simplexial complex K is a collection of verges v of a simplex s such that each verge v' of a simplex v is in K. The space $|K|$ of K is a subset of $|s|$ consisting of those points which belong to simplexes of K, where $|s|$ is a topological space of s in the topology inherited from $c_0(\mathbf{L}, A)$. This defines topology of $|K|$ also. A simplexial complex has $dim_{\mathbf{L}} K := \sup_{v \in K} dim_{\mathbf{L}} v$, where v are simplexes of K. A subcomplex L of K is a subcollection of the simplexes of K such that each verge of v of a simplex s in L is also in L, so L is a simplexial complex. If e is a vertex of K, the open star of e is the subset $St_K(e)$ of K which is the union of all simplexes of K having e as a vertex.

Instead of the barycentric subdivision in the classical case we introduce a p^j-subdivision of simplexes and polyhedra for $j \in \mathbf{N}$ and for the field $\mathbf{L}$, that is a partition of each simplex $B(c_0(\mathbf{L}, A'), x, r)$ into the disjoint union of simplexes with diameters equal to rp^{-j}, where $p = b_{\mathbf{L}}^{-1}$. Each simplex s with $dim_{\mathbf{L}} s = card(A')$ may be considered also in $c_0(\mathbf{L}, A)$, where $A' \subset A$, since there exists the isometrical embedding $c_0(\mathbf{L}, A') \hookrightarrow c_0(\mathbf{L}, A)$ and the projector $\pi : c_0(\mathbf{L}, A) \to c_0(\mathbf{L}, A')$. By a dimension of a polyhedron P we call $dim_{\mathbf{L}}P := \sup_{(s\subset P, s \text{ is a simplex })} dim_{\mathbf{L}}s$. The polyhedron P is called locally finite-dimensional if all simplexes $s \subset P$ are finite dimensional over $\mathbf{L}$, that is, $dim_{\mathbf{L}}s \in \mathbf{N}$. For a simplex $s = B(c_0(\mathbf{L}, A'), x, r)$ by a $\mathbf{L}$-border ∂s we call the union of all its verges q with the codimension over $\mathbf{L}$ equal to 1 in $c_0(\mathbf{L}, A') =: X$, that is, $q = e + B'$, where B' are balls in $c_0(\mathbf{L}, A") =: Y$, $(A' \setminus A")$ is a singleton, $A" \subset A'$, $X \ominus Y = \mathbf{L}$, $Y \hookrightarrow X$. For the polyhedron $P = \bigcup_{j\in F} s_j$ by the $\mathbf{L}$-border we call $\partial P = \bigcup_{j\in F} \partial s_j$, where F is the set.

(2). A continuous mapping f of a set M, $M \subset c_0(\mathbf{L}, A)$ into a polyhedron P we call essential, if there is not any continuous mapping $g : M \to P$ for which are satisfied the following conditions:

(i) $g(M)$ does not contain P;

(ii) there exists $M_0 \subset M$, $M_0 \ne M$ with $f(M)\cap\partial P = f(M_0) = g(M_0) \subset \partial P$ and their restrictions coincide $f|_{M_0} = g|_{M_0}$;

(iii) if f is linear on $[x, y] := \{tx + (1 - t)y | t \in B(\mathbf{L}, 0, 1)\} \subset M$, then g is also linear on $[x, y]$ such that $g(x) \ne g(y)$ for each $f(x) \ne f(y)$.

(3). The function f from §2.8.(2) is inessential, if there exists such g.

(4). Let $f : M \to N$ be a continuous mapping, $c_0(\mathbf{L}, A) \supset N \supset P$, P be a polyhedron. Then P is called essentially (or inessentially) covered by N under the mapping f, if $f|_{f^{-1}(P)}$ is essential (or inessential respectively).

(5). Let $f : M \to P$ and $g : M \to P$ are continuous mappings, where M is a set, P is a polyhedron. Then g is called a permissible modification of f, if three conditions are satisfied:

(i) from $a \in M$ and $f(a) \in s$ it follows $g(a) \in s$, where s is a simplex from P;

(ii) if x and $y \in M$, $[x, y] \subset M$ and $f : [x, y] \to P$ is linear, then $g : [x, y] \to P$ is also linear (over $\mathbf{L}$) and $g(x) \ne g(y)$ for each $f(x) \ne f(y)$;

(iii) $f(\partial M) = g(\partial M)$, when M contains a polyhedron Q with $dim_{\mathbf{L}}Q > 0$ such that Q is clopen in M.

(6). The mapping $f : M \to P$ is called reducible (or irreducible), when it may (or not respectively) have the permissible modification g such that $f(M)$ is not the subset of $g(M)$.

(7). A mapping $f : P \to Q$ for polyhedra P and Q is called normal, if

(i) $\rho_Q(f(x), f(y)) \le \rho_P(x, y)$ for each x and $y \in P$, that is f is a non-stretching mapping;

(ii) there exists a p^j-subdivision Q' of polyhedra Q such that $f : P \to Q'$ is a simplexial mapping, that is, $f|_s$ is affine on each simplex $s \subset P$ and $f(s)$

is a simplex from Q'.

(8). Let $X = \lim_j\{X_j, f^j_i, E\}$ be an expansion of X into the limit of inverse spectra of polyhedra X_j over $\mathbf{L}$. This expansion is called

(a) irreducible, if for each open $V \subset X$ there exists a cofinal subset $E_V \subset E$ such that $\{X_j, f^j_i, E_V\}$ is also the irreducible polyhedral representation of the space V, that is $f^j_i : X_j \to X_i$ are irreducible and surjective for each $i \ge j \in E_V$. The polyhedral system (representation) $\{X_j, f^j_i, E\}$ is called

(b) n-dimensional, if $dim_{\mathbf{L}} X_j \le n$ for each $j \in E$, $\sup_{j\in E} dim_{\mathbf{L}} X_j = n$, where n is a cardinal number. The polyhedral spectrum is called

(c) non-degenerate, if projections f^j_i are non-degenerate, that is $f^j_i(s)$ is not a singleton for each simplex s of X_j.

2.9. Notes. Conditions $2.8.(2(iii),(5(ii,iii))$ and restrictions on f^j_i in $2.8.(8(a))$ are additional in comparison with the classical case. They are imposed in §2.8, since there exists a continuous non-linear retraction $r : s_j \to \partial s_j$ for the non-Archimedean field $\mathbf{L}$, which may be constructed with the help of a p-subdivision and projections of $c_0(\mathbf{L}, A)$ are on its subspaces $c_0(\mathbf{L}, A')$ associated with the standard basis $\{e_j : j \in A\}$, where $A' \subset A$. If f is simplexial on each polyhedron M and $2.8.(2(iii))$ is accomplished, then $dim_{\mathbf{L}} g(M) = dim_{\mathbf{L}} f(M)$.

In [9, 10] were studied uniform spaces and ANRU. Here we mean by ANRU an ultraunifrom space X such that under embedding into an ultrauniform space Y there exists the uniformly continuous retract $r : V \to X$ of its uniform neighbourhood V, $X \subset V \subset Y$. We denote by $U(X, Y)$ for two ultrauniform spaces X and Y an ultrauniform space of uniformly continuous mappings $f : X \to Y$ with the uniformity generated by a base of the form $W = \{(f, g)|(f(x), g(x)) \in V$ for each $x \in X\}$, where $V \in \mathsf{V}$, V is a uniformity on Y corresponding to $\mathbf{P}_Y$.

Here we call an ultrauniform space Y injective, if for each ultrauniform space X with $H \subset X$ and an uniformly continuous mapping $f : H \to Y$ there exists an uniformly continuous extension $f : X \to Y$.

2.10. Theorem. *$B(c_0(\mathbf{L}, A), 0, 1)$ is an injective space for $card(A) < \aleph_0$.*

Proof may be done analogously to Theorem 9 on p. 40 in [10].

2.11. Theorem. *Each uniform polyhedron P over $\mathbf{L}$ is ANRU.*

Proof. Since $a = \inf_{i\ne j\in F} dist(s_i, s_j) > 0$, $b = \sup_{i\in F} diam(s_i) < \infty$, then there exists a minimal uniform covering U such that for each s_i there exists a/p-clopen neighbourhood $V_i \in \mathsf{U}$, where $V_i = s_i^\epsilon$, $\epsilon = a/p$, $A^\epsilon = \{y \in Y : d_Y(y, A) = \inf_{a\in A} d_Y(y, a) \le \epsilon\}$ for an ultrametric space (Y, d_Y) is an

ϵ-enlargement of a subset A in Y. In this case P is a subset of a Banach space $c_0(\mathbf{L}, A)$, where $card(A) = w(P)$. Each s_i is an uniform retract V_i, $r_i : V_i \to s_i$, consequently, there exists uniformly continuous retraction $r : S = \bigcup_{V_i \in \mathsf{U}} V_i \to P$ such that $r|_{s_i} = r_i$ for each i, since $\sup_{i \in F} diam(s_i) < \infty$ and $r_i \circ r_i = r_i$, $r_i|_{s_i} = id$, hence $r \circ r = r$ and $r|_P = id$. The neighbourhood S is uniform, since $St(P, \mathsf{U}) := \bigcup_{(A \in \mathsf{U}, A \cap P \ne \emptyset)} A = S$ [3].

2.12. Note. Further for uniform spaces are considered uniformly continuous mappings and uniform polyhedra and for topological spaces continuous mappings and polyhedra if it is not specially outlined.

2.13. Lemma. *Let $(X, \mathbf{P})$ be an ultrauniform strongly zero-dimensional space, P be a polyhedron over $\mathbf{L}$, A_1,...,A_q are non-intersecting closed subsets in X, $q \in \mathbf{N}$, $card(P) \ge q$. Then there exists an uniformly continuous mapping $f : X \to P$ such that $f(A_i) \cap f(A_j) = \emptyset$ for each $i \ne j$.*

Proof. There exists a disjoint clopen covering V_j for X satisfying $A_j \subset V_j$ for each $j = 1, ..., q$ (see Theorem 6.2.4 [3]). Then we can take locally constant or locally affine mapping f with $f(V_j) \subset s_j \subset P$.

2.14. Lemma. *Each non-stretching mapping $f : E \to P$ has non-stretching continuation on $(\tilde{X}, \rho)$, where P is a uniform polyhedron over $\mathbf{L}$, $E \subset X$, $\tilde{X}$ is a completion of an ultrametric space (X, ρ).*

Proof. There exists an embedding $P \hookrightarrow c_0(\mathbf{L}, A)$ for $card(A) = w(P)$ due to Lemma 4 [15] or Theorem 2.4 above. We choose $f : E \to c_0(\mathbf{L}, A)$ with $f = (f^i : i \in A)$, $f^i : E \to \mathbf{L}e_i$, where $(e_i : i \in A)$ is the orthonormal basis in $c_0(\mathbf{L}, A)$ and $\inf_{(i \ne j, s_i \text{ and } s_j \subset P)} dist(s_i, s_j) > 0$, s_i are simplexes from P. Each mapping $f^i : E \to \mathbf{L}e_i$ is non-stretching, that is $\|f^i(x) - f^i(y)\| \le \rho(x, y)$ for each $x, y \in E$. Evidently, f has non-stretching extension on $\tilde{E}$ such that $\tilde{E}$ is closed $\tilde{X}$. On the other hand, due to Theorem 2.4 there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that f has a non-stretching extension $f : E^\epsilon \to P^\epsilon$. By Theorem 2.11 for sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a retraction $r : P^\epsilon \to P$ such that $r \circ f : E^\epsilon \to P$ is is non-stretching, $r \circ f = f$ on $\tilde{E}$. There exists V clopen in $\tilde{X}$ such that $E \subset V \subset E^\epsilon$ due to Lemma 2.2, hence $r \circ f|_V$ has a non-stretching extension of f from E, since $(\tilde{X}, \rho)$ is strongly zero-dimensional. This follows also from the fact that a free Banach space $B(E, \rho', \mathbf{L})$ over $\mathbf{L}$ generated by E has the isometric embedding into $B(X, \rho', \mathbf{L})$, where ρ' is from Lemma 2.2 (see also [15]).

2.15. Definitions. An ultrauniform space $(X, \mathbf{P})$ is called LE-space, if each uniformly continuous $f : Y \to \mathbf{L}$ has an uniformly continuous extension on all X, where $Y \subset X$.

Let X be a Tychonoff space. If X has the finest uniformity compatible with its topology, then it is called fine.

2.16. Theorem. *An ultrametric space X is an LE-space if and only if $\tilde{X} = \lim\{X_m, f^m_n, E\}$, where X_m are fine spaces and bonding mappings $f^m_n : X_m \to X_n$ are uniformly continuous for each $m \geq n \in E$, $\{X_m, f^m_n, E\}$ is an inverse mapping system.*

Proof. Let us consider $X_A = B(c_0(\mathbf{L}, A), 0, 1)$ for $card(A) \geq \aleph_0$ or $X_A = \mathbf{L^n}$ which are not fine spaces, since on X_A there are continuous $f : X_A \to \mathbf{L}$ which are not uniformly continuous. If X_A is fine, then each continuous function $f : X_A \to \mathbf{L}$ is uniformly continuous. Then for the embedding of the non-fine space $X_A \hookrightarrow c_0(\mathbf{L}, A)$ there is not compact H with $X_A \subset H \subset c_0(\mathbf{L}, A)$. Therefore, in X_A there exists a countable closed subset of isolated points $Y = \{x_i : i \in \mathbf{N}\}$ and a continuous function $f : Y \to \mathbf{L}$ with $|f(x_j) - f(x_i)|/|x_j - x_i| > c_i > 0$ for each $j \geq i$ and $\lim_{i\to\infty} c_i = \infty$ such that f has a continuous extension g on X_A. Indeed, there exists a clopen neighbourhood W, that is a b-enlargement (with $b > 0$) relative to the ultrametric ρ_A in X_A, so there is a continuous retraction $r : W \to Y$, $g(x) = const \in \mathbf{L}$ on $(X_A \setminus W)$, $g(x) = f(r(x))$ on W, $g|_Y = f$. Therefore, g is continuous and uniformly continuous on X_A (see also Theorem 1.7 [1]).

3 Polyhedral expansions.

3.1. Theorem. *Each complete ultrauniform space $(Y, \mathbf{P})$ is a limit of an inverse spectra of ANRU Y_j, where Y_j are embedded into complete locally $\mathbf{L}$-convex spaces.*

Proof. In view of Corollary 2.5 there exists a uniform embedding $Y \hookrightarrow \prod_{\rho\in\mathbf{P}} c_0(\mathbf{L}, A_\rho) =: H$. In each $c_0(\mathbf{L}, A_\rho)$ may be taken the orthonormal basis $\{e_{j,\rho} : j \in A_\rho\}$, $card(A_\rho) = w(Y_\rho)$ and define canonical neighbourhoods $U(f, b; (j_1, \rho_1), ..., (j_n, \rho_n)) := \{q \in H : |\pi_{j_i,\rho_i}(q) - \pi_{j_i,\rho_i}(f)| < b \text{ for } i = 1, ..., n\}$, where $\pi_{j,\rho} : H \to \mathbf{L}e_{j,\rho}$ are projectors, $f \in H$, $b > 0$, $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Each clopen subset $Z_b := H \setminus U(f, b; (j_1, \rho_1), ..., (j_n, \rho_n))$ is uniformly continuous (non-stretching) retract of $Z_{b/p}$, that is Z_b is ANRU. Analogously each finite intersections $\bigcap_{l=1}^q Z(f_l, b_l, (j^l_1, \rho^l_1), ..., (j^l_n, \rho^l_n)) = \bigcap_{l=1}^k Z_{l,b_l}$ are also ANRU, since $Z_{k,b_k} \supset \bigcap_{l=1}^k Z_{l,b_l}$ and a retraction $g_k : Z_{k,b_k/p} \to Z_{k,b_k}$ produces non-stretching relative to the corresponding pseudoultrametric retraction

$g_k : \bigcap_{l=1}^k Z_{l,b_l/p} \to \bigcap_{l=1}^k Z_{l,b_l}$. Hence $g_q \circ ... \circ g_1 = g : \bigcap_{l=1}^q Z_{l,b_l/p} \to \bigcap_{l=1}^q Z_{l,b_l}$ is the (non-stretching) retraction. Let S be the ordered family of such $\bigcap_{l=1}^q Z_{l,b_l} \subset H \setminus Y$, then $\bigcap_{Z\in S}(H \setminus Z) = Y$. Further as in the proof of Theorem 7.1 [9].

3.2. Lemma. *Let (X, ρ_X) and (Y, ρ_Y) be ultrametric spaces, $f : X \to Y$ be a continuous mapping such that $f|_H$ is a b-mapping (that is $\rho_Y(f(x), i(x)) \le b$ for each $x \in H$), where H is dense in X, $b > 0$, $i : H \hookrightarrow Y$ is an embedding. Then X and Y may be embedded into a Banach space W over $\mathbf{L}$ such that X with equivalent ultrametric in W and $\|f(x) - x\| \le b$ for each $x \in X$ (that is f is a b-mapping on X).*

Proof. From Theorem 2.4, Lemma 2.3 and Corollary 2.6 it follows that there exists such embedding of X into the corresponding $W = c_0(\mathbf{L}, A)$ with $card(A) = w(X)$ with the disjoint clopen covering $V = \{B(c_0(\mathbf{L}, A), x_j, b_j) =: B_j : x_j \in H, \infty > b_j > 0, j \in F\}$. Let $Y_j := f(B_j) \subset Y$, consequently, $diam(Y_j) \le b$, since f is the b-mapping, that is f realizes the covering of X consisting from subsets of diameters not greater than b. Then $\rho_X(x', x") \le \max(\rho(x', x_j), \rho(x_j, x"))$, where $x" \in f^{-1}(y)$, $y \in Y_j$, $x' \in B(c_0(\mathbf{L}, A), x_j, b_j)$, $f(x') = y$, $diam(f^{-1}(y)) \le b$. Let $x_i \ne x_j$, this is equivalent to $B_i \cap B_j = \emptyset$ and is equivalent to $\rho_X(x_i, x_j) > b$, consequently, $Y_i \cap Y_j = \emptyset$ and $Y = \bigcup_{j\in F} Y_j$. In view of continuity of f there exists the embedding of Y_j into B_j, since f is the b-mapping, $w(Y) \le w(X)$, $0 < b_j \le b$ and due to Theorem 2.4.

3.3.1. Lemma. *Let there exists a non-stretching (uniformly continuous) mapping $f : R \to P$ and a non-stretching (uniformly continuous) permissible modification $g : M \to P$, where R is a complete ultrametric space (LE-space respectively), P is a polyhedron, M is a subspace in R; if R is a polyhedron let M be a subpolyhedron. Then g has a non-stretching (uniformly continuous respectively) extension on the entire R and this extension is a permissible modification of f.*

Proof. For a complete ultrametric space R the mapping g has the non-stretching (uniformly continuous) extension on the completion of M which coincides with the closure $\bar{M}$ of M in R, since R and P are complete. The space P is complete, since it is ANRU by Theorem 2.11 (see also Theorem 1.7 [7], Theorems 8.3.6 and 8.3.10 [3]). For the embedding $R \hookrightarrow c_0(\mathbf{L}, A)$ with $card(A) = w(R)$ by Theorem 2.4 it may be taken due to Corollary 2.6 the disjoint clopen covering V such that each $W \in V$ has the form $W = R \cap B(c_0(\mathbf{L}, A), x, r_W)$, where $r_W > 0$, $x \in R$, $\sup_{W\in V} r_W \le p^{-j}$ (for each $j \in \mathbf{N}$ there exists such V).

In view of uniform continuity of f and uniformity of the polyhedron P there exists V such that for each W from V there exists a simplex $T \subset P$ with $f(W) \subset T$. The space $c_0(\mathbf{L}, A)$ has the orthonormal basis $\{e_j : j \in A\}$. If f is linear on no any $[a, b] \subset R$, then for the construction of g may be used Lemma 2.14 or Theorem 2.16. This may be done with the help of transfinite induction by the cardinality of sets of vertexes of simplexes from P (or using the Teichmüller-Tukey Lemma), since P is a disjoint union of simplexes. In general let $g(\bar{M})$ contains each zero-dimensional over $\mathbf{L}$ simplex $T^0 \subset P$. If it is not so, then there exists a point $b = f^{-1}(T^0)$ in which g is not defined. If f is non-linear on each $[a, b] \subset R$ with $a \in \bar{M}$, then $g(b) = f(b)$. If f is linear on such $[a, b]$, then from $\rho(a, b) < \infty$ it follows that $[a, b]$ is homeomorphic with the compact ball $B(\mathbf{L}, a, \rho(b, a))$ in $\mathbf{L}$ and $f([a, b])$ is homeomorphic with $B(\mathbf{L}, f(a), \|f(b) - f(a)\|)$. Then in $\bar{M} \cap [a, b] = Y$ there exists y such that $\rho(y, a) = \sup_{x \in Y} \rho(a, x) = t$. The subspace Y is covered by the finite number of $W \in V$. For $y \neq a$, that is $t > 0$, $f([a, b])$ is compact and is contained in the finite number of simplexes from P, consequently, there exists the permissible modification of g on $[a, b]$ also.

Let E and F be two subsets in R. We denote by $sp(E, F, f)$ the subspace $cl((\bigcup_{(a \in E, b \in F, f|_{[a,b]} \text{ is } \mathbf{L}- \text{ linear })} [a, b]) \cup E \cup F)$ in R, where $cl(S)$ denotes the closure of S in R for $S \subset R$. If $B = \{q : f^{-1}(T^0) = q, T^0 \subset P, g$ is not defined in $q\}$, then by the Teichmüller-Tukey Lemma there exists the extension of g on $sp(\bar{M}, B, f) =: M_0$. Let $M_j := sp(\bigcup_{i<j} M_i, B, f)$, where $B = \bigcup_{(T^j \text{ is not the subset of } g(M))} T^j$, T^j are simplexes from P with the cardianlity of sets of vertexes equal to j, where $j \leq w(P)$. From Lemma 3.2 it follows that conditions 2.8.$(5(i - iii))$ may be satisfied on $M_j \cap R$. Considering vertexes of s from $R \cap f^{-1}(T^j) \setminus \bigcup_{i<j} M_i$ we construct g on $M_j \subset R$. Since $R \hookrightarrow c_0(\mathbf{L}, A)$, then $\sup_j M_j = R$, where M_j are ordered by inclusion: $M_j \supset M_i$ for each $i \leq j$.

3.3.2. Note. Henceforth, we assume that for a uniformly continuous mapping $f : Y \to P$ are satisfied conditions: P is a uniform polyhedron, bonding mappings $f^m_n : X_m \to X_n$ are uniformly continuous (see §§2.8, 2.16), where $Y \subset X$, (X, ρ) is an ultrametric space.

3.4. Lemma. *Let $f : M \to P$ is irreducible, M and P are polyhedrons, N is a subpolyhedron in M, Q is a subpolyhedron in P, $f(N) \subset Q$, then a mapping $f : N \to Q$ is irreducible.*

Proof. Let $f : N \to Q$ be reducible, that is there exists a permissible modification $g : N \to Q$ with $g(N)$ not contained in $f(N)$, $q \in f(N) \setminus g(N)$. In view of Lemma 3.3 there exists the extension $g : M \to P$. Let $r > 0$ is sufficiently small and $U := N_r = \{y \in M$: there exists $x \in N$ with $\rho(x, y) \leq r\}$ be the r-enlargement of the subspace N such that $q \notin g(N_r)$. Since M and P are (uniform) polyhedra and f is (uniformly) continuous and U is clopen in M, then there exists a p^j-subdivision M' and a clopen polyhedron H in M' with $N_{r/p} \subset N \subset U \subset M'$ such that $h|_H = g|_H$, $M' \setminus H$ is the subpolyhedron in M', $h|_{M \setminus H} = f|_{M \setminus H}$. Then $q \notin h(H)$ and from the irreducubility of f it follows that $q \notin f(M \setminus H)$, consequently, h is the permissible modification of f and $h(M)$ is not the subset of $f(M)$. This contradiction lead to the statement of this Lemma.

3.5. Lemma. *Let $f : M \to P$, M and P be polyhedrons over $\mathbf{L}$. Then the condition of irreducibility of f is equvalent to that each subpolyhedron in $Q \subset P$ is essentially covered.*

Proof. If f is irreducible, then due to Lemma 3.4 each subpolyhedron Q is essentially covered. Let vice versa each Q is essentially covered and f has the permissible modification g with $P = f(M)$ not contained in $g(M)$. From $f(\partial M) = g(\partial M)$ and that f is essential the contradiction with the statement $\{P$ is not contained in $g(M)\}$ follows, consequently, f is irreducible.

3.6. Lemma. *Let P and M be polyhedrons. If $f : M \to P$ has only addmissible modifications, then f is irreducible.*

Proof. From $f(\partial M) = g(\partial M)$ and that g is the permissible modification of f it follows that each subpolyhedron Q from P is essentially covered due to Lemma 3.3. In view of Lemma 3.5 f is irreducible.

3.7. Lemma. *Let there is an inverse spectra $S = \{R_m, f^m_n, E\}$ of polyhedra R_m over $\mathbf{L}$, f^m_n are simplexial mappings, $g^l : R_l \to P$, $g^n = g^l \circ f^n_l$ for a fixed l, $f_n = \lim_m f^m_n$, $g = g^l \circ f_l$, $R = \lim S$, $f_n : R \to R_n$, E is linearly ordered. If $g : R \to P$ is reducible for a polyhedron P, then for almost all n (that is, there exists $k \in E$ such that for each $n \geq k$) $g^n : R_n \to P$ are reducible.*

Proof. In view of Lemma 3.3 there exists a permissible modification h of g, then g and h define mappings g^n and h^n such that h^n on R_n are permissible modifications of g^n. If g is reducible, then by Lemma 3.5 g^n are reducible for almost all $n \in E$, since $h(R)$ is not a subset of $g(R)$ and $h(\partial R) = g(\partial R)$.

3.8. Lemma. *If $f : M \to N$, $g : N \to T$, $f(M) = N$, where M and N are polyhedra, then from g is inessential (reducible) it follows $f \circ g$ is inessential (reducible).*

Proof. If f is $\mathbf{L}$-linear on $[a, b] \subset M$ and g is $\mathbf{L}$-linear on $[f(a), f(b)]$, then $f \circ g$ is $\mathbf{L}$-linear on $[a, b]$. If h is a permissible modification of g, then $f \circ h$ is a permissible modification of $f \circ g$, hence $f \circ g$ is reducible. If g is inessential, then there exists a mapping h such that

(i) $h(N)$ does not contain T;

(ii) there exists $N_0 \subset N$ such that $N_0 \neq N$, $g(N) \cap \partial T = g(N_0) = h(N_0)$ and $h|_{N_0} = g|_{N_0}$;

(iii) if g is linear on $[x, y] \subset N$, then h is linear on $[x, y]$ such that $h(x) \neq h(y)$ for each $g(x) \neq g(y)$. Therefore, $f \circ h : M \to T$ satisfies Conditions $(i - iii)$ for M instead of N, $f \circ h$ instead of h and $f \circ g$ instead of g, consequently, $f \circ g$ is inessential.

3.9. Lemma. *Suppose that there is given an irreducible inverse mapping system* $S = \{P_m, f^m_n, E\}$ *of polyhedra* P_m *over* $\mathbf{L}$*,* M *is closed in* $P = \lim S$ *such that* $M_n := f_n(M)$ *are subpolyhedra in* P_n *and for each* $m > l$ *permissible modifications* g^m_l *for* f^m_l *are given (on the entire* P_m*) and for each* $n > m$ *mappings* $g^m_l \circ f^n_m$ *are permissible modifications of* f^m_l*. Then the inverse mapping system* $S_M := \{M_n, g^m_l \circ f^n_m, E_l\}$ *is irreducible, where* $E_l = \{n \in E : n \geq l\}$.

Proof. From surjectivity and irreducibility of f^m_l it follows surjectivity and irreducibility of $g^m_l \circ f^n_m$ due to Lemmas 3.4, 3.5 and 3.8. Since E_l is cofinal with E, then for each W open in M there exists V open in P such that $W = M \cap V$. Let $dim_{\mathbf{L}} P_l = d$. We use a disjoint covering of P_l by simplexes clopen in it. There exists $l \leq m_d \in E$ such that each d-dimensional simplex T^d from T_l over $\mathbf{L}$ by means of (f^n_l, M_n) is either essentially for each $n \geq l$ or inessentially for each $n \geq m_d$ covered. In the second case there exists a permissible modification $k^{m_d}_l$ such that $k^{m_d}_l[(f^{m_d}_1)^{-1}(T^d)]$ does not contain any point from $T^d \setminus \partial T^d$, hence we get a permissible modification $k^{m_d}_l$ of $f^{m_d}_l$ on the entire P_{m_d}. If T^d is essentially covered by $(f^{m_d}_l, M_{m_d})$, then T^d is essentially covered by (f^n, M_n) for each $n \geq m_d$.

Let $q < d$, where q is a cardinal. Then there exists $m_q \geq m_d$ such that for each simplexes T^q from P_l with $dim_{\mathbf{L}} T^q = q$ is either essentially for each $n \geq l$ or inessentially for each $n \geq m_q$ covered by (f^n_l, M_n). Since P_l has a disjoint covering by such simplexes and S is irreducible, then S_M is irreducible.

3.10. Lemma. *If* T *is a simplex from a* p^j*-subdivision* P' *of polyhedron* P *then for each clopen neighbourhood* $U \supset T$ *such that* U *is a subpolyhedron in* P' *there exists a mapping* $k : P \to P$ *such that* $k|_U$ *is simplexial and* $k(U) = T$.

Proof. In view of Theorem 2.11 there exists the retraction $r : P \to U$ (it is uniform if P is the uniform polyhedron). In view of Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3 there exists a simplexial mapping $f : U \to T$ such that $f(U) = T$, hence $k = f \circ r$ is the desired mapping, since $r|_U = id$ and $r \circ r = r$, where $id(x) = x$ for each $x \in U$. To construct f we consider simplexes s of P' forming its disjoint clopen covering. For each such s either $s \cap T = \emptyset$ or $s = T$. If $s = B(c_0(\mathbf{L}, A), x, r)$ and $T = B(c_0(\mathbf{L}, A'), y, r')$ with $A' \subset A$, then $f|_s$ is evidently defined such that $f(s) = T$. For $A' \supset A$ and $A' \ne A$ we can map s on the corresponding verge (or vertex) of T. For $s = T$ we can take $f|_T = id$.

3.11. Lemma. *Let P be a uniform polyhedron, $f : M \to P$ and $g : M \to P$ are two b-close mappings (that is, $\rho(f(a), g(a)) \le b$ for each $a \in M$), where $b < \inf_{s \subset P} diam(s)$, s are clopen simplexes in P, then there exists k from Lemma 3.10 such that $k \circ g$ and f are b-close and $k \circ g$ is a permissible modification of f, where $g|_{[x,y]}$ is $\mathbf{L}$-linear or $g(x) \ne g(y)$ if and only if $f|_{[x,y]}$ is $\mathbf{L}$-linear or $f(x) \ne f(y)$ respectively for each $[x, y] \subset M$.*

Proof. Let P' be a subdivision of P constructed with the help of p^j-subdivisions of P such that $j \in \mathbf{N}$. In view of $b < \inf_{s \subset P} diam(s)$ we have that $g(a) \in \tau$ if and only if $f(a) \in \tau$ for each simplex τ in P and $a \in M$. If M_1 is a clopen polyhedron in M and s is a simplex (or its verge) in M_1, then $f|_s$ is affine if and only if $g|_s$ is the affine mapping, moreover $f(s)$ and $g(s)$ have the same dimension over $\mathbf{L}$ and $dim_{\mathbf{L}} g(q) = dim_{\mathbf{L}} f(q)$ for each verge q in s. Therefore, for a polyhedron M_1 we can choose $k : P \to P$ such that $k \circ g(\partial M) = f(\partial M)$, since P is a uniform polyhedron and there exists $j \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $p^{-j} \sup_{s \subset P} diam(s) < b$.

3.12. Lemma. *Let $f : P \to Q$ be a non-stretching mapping of a uniform polyhedron P onto a uniform polyhedron Q over $\mathbf{L}$. Then there exists a p^j-subdivision P' of P and a normal mapping $g : P \to Q$ such that g is a permissible modification of f.*

Proof. For each $b > 0$ there exist a p^j-subdivision P' of P and a p^i-subdivision of Q and a simplexial mapping $h : P' \to Q$, which is b-close to f, since f is non-stretching. Indeed, simplexes $T^l \subset P'$ are disjoint and clopen for them due to Lemmas 3 and 4 [15] h can be chosen such that:

(i) $|h(e) - f(e)| < b$ for linearly independent vertexes $e_{l,j} \in T^l$, that is, $\{(e_{l,j} - e_{i,0}) : j \in A_l\}$ are linearly independent, $e_{l,0}$ is a marked vertex from T^l, $card(A_l) = dim_{\mathbf{L}} T^l$ and

(ii) $h(T^l)$ are simplexes in Q with $diam(h(T^l)) \le diam(T^l)$ for suitable $b > 0$ and the p^i-subdivision Q' of Q, where $h|_{T^l}$ are affine mappings for each

l. This is possible due to uniformity of polyhedra P and Q. Taking $g = k \circ h$, where k is the suitable mapping from Lemma 3.11 we get the desired g.

3.13. Lemma. *Let g be a permissible modification of $f : R \to P$ and $h : P \to Q$ be a normal mapping, where P and Q are uniform polyhedra. Then $h \circ g$ is the permissible modification of $h \circ f$.*

Proof. If $f(\partial R) = g(\partial R)$, then $h \circ f(\partial R) = h \circ g(\partial R)$. If $f|_{[x,y]}$ is **L**-linear, then $g|_{[x,y]}$ is **L**-linear, where $[x, y] \subset R$, hence if $h \circ f|_{[u,v]}$ is **L**-linear, then $h \circ g|_{[u,v]}$ is **L**-linear and $h \circ g(u) \neq h \circ g(v)$ for each $h \circ f(u) \neq h \circ f(v)$, since h is affine for some p^j-subdivision Q' of Q. If $a \in R$ and $f(a) \in s$, where s is a simplex from P, then $g(a) \in s$, hence if $h \circ f(a) \in \tau$, then $h \circ g(a) \in \tau$, where τ is a simplex in Q, since $\rho_Q(h \circ f(a), h \circ g(a)) \leq \rho_P(f(a), g(a))$ and $h : P \to Q'$ is simplexial.

3.14. Lemma. *Let $\{P_n, f^n_m, E\} = S$ be an irreducible inverse system of uniform polyhedra over **L**, M be closed in $P = \lim S$, $M_n = f_n(M)$ be subpolyhedra in P_n, $\{Q_k, g^k_l, F\}$ be an inverse spectra of polyhedra Q_k, which appear by $p^{j(k)}$-subdivisions of $P_{n(k)}$, g^k_l be normal and permissible modifications of $f^{n(k)}_{n(l)}$, where F is cofinal with E, $N_k = g_k(M)$, $g^k_l|_{N_k}$ and $g_l|_M$ are irreducible. Then N_k are polyhedra.*

Proof. This follows from Lemmas 3.7-3.12, since an image of a polyhedron under simplexial mapping is a polyhedron (see also the proof of Lemma IV.31.XII [5] and [8] starting the consideration from some fixed $n_1 = q \in E$).

3.15. Lemma. *Suppose that for a complete ultrametric space R there is a non-stretching mapping $f : R \to P$, $f(R) = P$, P is a uniform polyhedron over **L**. Then for each $b > 0$ there exists a b-mapping $g : R \to Q$ and Q is a uniform polyhedron over **L** such that for sufficiently fine p^j-subdivision Q' of a polyhedron Q there exists a normal mapping $k : Q \to P$ and $k \circ g$ is a non-stretching permissible modification of f.*

Proof. For R there exists the embedding $R \hookrightarrow c_0(\mathbf{L}, A)$ with $card(A) = w(R)$ by Theorem 2.4 and a clopen neighbourhood S with $R \subset S \subset R(r)$ that is a uniform polyhedron due to Corollary 2.6, where $R(r)$ denotes the $r = b/p$-enlargement of R. By Lemma 3.2 there exists the subpolyhedron Q with $R \subset Q \subset S$ and the b'-mapping $g : R \to Q$. If $[a, z] \subset S$ and $f|_{[a,z]}$ is **L**-linear, then we can choose g such that it is linear on $[a, z]$ and $g(a) \neq g(z)$ when $f(a) \neq f(z)$. From the completeness of R and Lemma 2.14 it follows that f has the non-stretching extension $f : S \to P$. Then there are a b-mapping g, a uniform polyhedron Q and a non-stretching mapping

$h : Q \to P$ for sufficiently small $r > 0$ and $b' > 0$. For h due to Lemma 3.12 there exists the permissible modification and normal $k : Q' \to P$ such that $k \circ g(R) = P$, $f(\partial R) = k \circ g(\partial R)$ for $\partial R \ne 0$, that is, when R contains a clopen polyhedron R_1 with simplexes T having $dim_{\mathbf{L}} T > 0$. Such k, h and g may be constructed on each simplex T from Q' and then on the entire space.

3.16. Lemma. *Suppose that R is a complete ultrametric space, $f_n : R \to P_n$ are non-stretching b_n-mappings, P_n are uniform polyhedra over $\mathbf{L}$, $n \in E$, E is an ordered set such that for each $b > 0$ there exists $l \in E$ with $0 < b_n < b$ for $n > l$. Then there exists a normal irreducible inverse mapping system $S = \{Q_m, g^n_m, F\}$, F is cofinal with E, $\lim S = R$, Q_m are subpolyhedra of $p^{j(m)}$-subdivisions of $P_{n(m)}$ and g_m are permissible modifications of $f_{n(m)}$, where $g_m = \lim_n g^n_m : R \to Q_m$.*

Proof. In view of Lemmas 3.5, 3.6, 3.13 and 3.14 it is sufficient at first to construct S with non-stretching normal normal and surjective mappings g^m_n. This can be done due Lemma 3.15 with $g^m_l \circ f_{n(m)}$ being non-stretching permissible modifications of $f_{n(l)}$ and $\lim_m g^m_l \circ f_{n(m)}$ are non-stretching permissible modifications of $f_{n(l)}$.

3.17. Lemma. *If the ultrametric space (X, ρ) is isomorphic with*

$$\lim\{(X_m, \rho_m); f^m_n; F\}$$

and the following conditions are satisfied:

(1) *for each m there are embeddings $q_m : X_m \hookrightarrow (E, \rho)$ into a complete space (E, ρ);*

(2) *$f_m : X \to X_m$ are projections;*

(3) *(X_m, ρ_m) are ultrametric spaces;*

(4) *there is given a family $\{b_m > 0 : m \in F\}$, $b_m \in \rho(X, X)$ and for each $b > 0$ the set $\{m : b_m > b\}$ is finite, where $t_m := \inf_{\rho(x,y) > b_m} \rho(q_m(x), q_m(y))$ and $\lim_m t_m = 0$, for each $m > n$ and x and the inequality $\rho(q_m(x), q_n \circ f^m_n(x)) < t_n$ is accomplished. Then the mappings $q_m \circ f_m$ converge uniformly to the embedding $X \hookrightarrow E$.*

Proof. In view of Lemma 2.2 we may suppose that $\rho(X, X)$ and $\rho_m(X_m, X_m) \subset \tilde{\Gamma}(\mathbf{L})$. If x and $y \in X$ and $\rho(x, y) > b_n$, then $\rho(q_n \circ f_n(x), q_n \circ f_n(y)) \ge t_n$. From conditions $(1-4)$ it follows the existence of k such that $\rho(q_m \circ f_m(x), q_m \circ f_m(y)) \ge t_n$ for each $m > k$ and for the ultrametric ρ, consequently, $q = \lim_m q_m$ is the embedding, since $\rho(q(x), q(y)) \ge t_n$.

3.18. Theorem. *Let $(X, \mathbf{P})$ be a complete ultrauniform space and $\mathbf{L}$ be a locally compact field. Then there exists an irreducible normal expansion of*

$(X, \mathbf{P})$ *into the limit of the inverse mapping system*

$$S = \{P_n, f^n_m, E\}$$

of uniform polyhedra P_n *over* $\mathbf{L}$*, moreover,* $\lim S$ *is isomorphic with* $(X, \mathbf{P})$*, in particular for an ultrametric space* (X, ρ) *the system* S *is the inverse sequence.*

Proof. From Corollary 2.5 and Theorem 3.1 it follows the existence of the expansion of $(X, \mathbf{P})$ into the uniformly isomorphic limit of the inverse mapping system $R = \{Y_j, f^j_i, F\}$ of ANRU Y_j with non-stretching f^j_i, where Y_j are the complete ultrametric spaces. Each Y_j is closed in the finite products of the spaces $c_0(\mathbf{L}, A_k)$. From Lemmas 3.2-3.17 it follows the existence of the irreducible normal uniform polyhedral expansion for each Y_j, moreover, using the permissible modifications of g^j_i of f^j_i and the same Lemmas we can construct the system of the entire space $(X, \mathbf{P})$ with the same properties.

We consider further uniform coverings V corresponding to the uniform polyhedra $P = \bigcup_{W \in V} W$, which due to Theorem 2.11 are ANRU. Let ρ be the pseudoultrametric in X and $\rho(X, X) \subset \tilde{\Gamma}(\mathbf{L})$. At first, if V is given with the help of the chosen pseudoultrametric ρ, then we can associate with V a p^k-nerve with $k \in \mathbf{Z}$, that is, an abstract simplexial complex N_k vertexes of which are elements from V. Its simplexes are the spans of (pulled on) vertexes W_j satisfying $\rho(W_j, W_i) \le p^k b$, where $b = \sup_{W \in V} diam(W) < \infty$, each rib $[W_j, W_i]$ from s has the lenghts not less than $t = \inf_{W_j \ne W_i \in V} \rho(W_j, W_i) > 0$. Then from $N_k \hookrightarrow c_0(\mathbf{L}, A_k)$ with $card(A_k) = w(N_k)$ it follows that each s is uniformly isomorphic with some ball $B(c_0(\mathbf{L}, A), 0, 1)$, where $card(A) = m \le w(N_k)$. With each V is associated the equivalence relation: xRy if and only if there exists $W \in V$ such that x and $y \in W$, then the quotient mapping $f : X \to X/R$ is defined (see Propositions 2.4.3 and 2.4.9 [3]). In particular with each locally finite functionally open covering V is associated the partition of the unity $\{f_W : W \in V\}$, $f_W : X \to B(\mathbf{L}, 0, 1)$, $f_W(x) = 1$ for $x \in W$ and $f_W(x) = 0$ for $x \notin W$, $\{f_W : W \in V\}$ is subordinated to V (see aslo §5.1 [3]). There are the canonical non-stretching mappings $F_k : X \to N_k$. If X is compact, then X/R is the finite discrete space and $dim_{\mathbf{L}} N_k = n \in \mathbf{N}$. Into each V may be refined a disjoint clopen uniform covering K with $\sup_{W \in K} diam(W) \le bp^{-j}$, where $j \in \mathbf{N}$. That is, V has the uniform strict shrinking.

In general there exist abstract simplexial complexes N_V with $dim_{\mathbf{L}} N_V \ge dim(X) \ge 0$, if V is an arbitrary functionally open covering of X of order not less than $dim(X)$. For $dim(X)=\infty$ we interpret orders of coverings as cardinals equal to $k\ge\aleph_0$. This is due to the following second procedure. If $\{W_j : j\in\Lambda_W\}$ are elements of a subfamily W of a covering V such that W_j are pairwise distinct and $\bigcap_{j\in\Lambda_W}W_j\ne\emptyset$, then to W corresponds an abstract simplex s in $c_0(\mathbf{L},\Lambda_W)$ such that W_j correspond to vertexes of s. Evidently, if the simplex s is clopen in the Banach space $c_0(\mathbf{L},A)$, then it is characterized by two points $x,y\in s$ such that $s=B(c_0(\mathbf{L},A),x,|x-y|)$. If s with $Int(s)=\emptyset$, then vertexes of s characterize a minimal Banach subspace of $c_0(\mathbf{L},A)$ in which s is contained.

Using the first procedure we can consider the sequence of such shrinkings: $V^{m+1}\subset V^m$ with $b_m=bp^{-m}$, where $m\in\mathbf{N}$. With each V^m is associated $p^{k(m)}$-nerve $N_{k(m)}$. Let $k(m)\ge -m$, $k(m+1)\le k(m)$ for each $m\in\mathbf{N}$ and $\lim_{m\to\infty}k(m)=-\infty$. If x is an isolated point in X, then there exists $n\in\mathbf{N}$ with $\max(b_n,p^{k(n)}b_n)<\inf_{y\in X\setminus\{x\}}\rho(x,y)$. Then the simplex $s\subset N_{k(m)}$ with $x\in s$ and $m\ge n$ is zero-dimensional over $\mathbf{L}$, that is, $s=\{x\}$.

By the construction of N_k for each simplex $s_{m+1}\subset N_{k(m+1)}$ there exists $s_m\subset N_{k(m)}$ with $f^{m+1}_m(s_{m+1})\subset s_m$, where f^j_i are the bonding mappings of the inverse sequence $S=\{N_{k(m)},f^m_i,\mathbf{N})$. Each f^{m+1}_m is non-stretching, since decreases the distance at least into p times and $b_m/b_{m+1}\ge p$. If $x\ne y$, then there exists n with $\max(b_n,b_np^{k(n)})<\rho(x,y)$, consequently, for each $m>n$ there are disjoint simplexes s and $s'\subset N_{k(m)}$ with $x\in s$ and $y\in s'$. Therefore, there exists the uniformly continuous mapping $g:X\to\lim S$, where $g(x)=\lim_m\{s_m,f^m_i\}$ and $s_m\ni x$ for each $m\in\mathbf{N}$. Therefore, the uniformly continuous projectors $f_m:X\to N_{k(m)}$ are defined, since for each $b>0$ there exists $r\in\mathbf{N}$ such that $b_mp^{k(m+r)-k(m)}<b$ and $f_m(W)=f^{m+r}_m\circ f_{m+r}(W)$ and $diam(f_m(W))<b$, where $W\in V^{m+r}$, $f_{m+r}(W)$ belongs to clopen star of the corresponding vertex $v\in N_{k(m+r)}$. Further (see also Lemma IV.33 in [10]) we can verify that $g(X)=\lim S$ and g is the uniform isomorphism, since $\bigcap_{m=l}^{\infty}g_m^{-1}(s_m)=\{x\}$ for the family $\{s_m : m\}$ corresponding to x (see above).

Evidently, $dim_{\mathbf{L}}N_{k(m)}$ may be from 0 for $k(m)=-m$ up to $card(A)$ with $card(A)=w(X)$. For $k(m)>-m$ in the inverse sequence S the mappings f^{m+1}_m may map simplexes s from $N_{k(m+1)}$ into simplexes q from $N_{k(m)}$ of lower dimension over $\mathbf{L}$, for example, when $W_{m+1}\subset W_m$, $W_m\in V^m$, $W_m=B(c_0(\mathbf{L},A_j),x,r)$, $W_{m+1}=B(c_0(\mathbf{L},A_n),x',r/p)$, $card(A_n)>card(X/R_m)\ge dim_{\mathbf{L}}N_{k(m)}\ge card(A_j)$, since $dim_{\mathbf{L}}N_{k(m+1)}\ge card(A_n)$ for

$k(m+1) > -m-1$. This fact is based on the homeomorphism of $D^{\aleph_0}$, $B(\mathbf{L},0,1)$ and $B(\mathbf{L},0,1)^{\aleph_0}$, where $D=\{0,1\}$ is a discrete two-point set (see also [3]).

For the complete ultrauniform space $(X,\mathbf{P})$ we can consider the base of uniform coverings $\{V^n_\rho : n \in \mathbf{N}, \rho \in \mathbf{P}\}$, where each V^n_ρ is given relative to the considered ρ, $S=\{N_{\rho,k(m)}, f^{\rho,k(m)}_{\rho',k(m')}, \mathbf{P}\times\mathbf{N}\}$. To each V^m_ρ there corresponds $N_{\rho,k(m)}$; $\rho' \le \rho$ if and only if $\rho'(x,y) \le \rho(x,y)$ for each x and $y \in X$; $(\rho',m') \le (\rho,m)$ if and only if $\rho' \le \rho$ and $m' \le m$. If to associate N_V with $dim(X)$ and orders of arbitrary functionally open coverings $\tilde{\mathsf{V}}^{\mathsf{n}}$ of the space X, then there exist an inverse spectrum of dimension equal to $dim(X)$, where ${}^*\tilde{\mathsf{V}}^{\mathsf{m}} < \tilde{\mathsf{V}}^{\mathsf{n}}$ for each $m < n \in E$, that is $\tilde{\mathsf{V}}^{\mathsf{m}}$ is a star refinement of $\tilde{\mathsf{V}}^{\mathsf{n}}$. We take $\{\tilde{\mathsf{V}}^{\mathsf{m}} : m \in E\}$ such that for each $x \in X$ there exists a cofinal subset $E(x) \subset E$ and a family $\{\tilde{V}^m_\beta : \beta \in E(x)\}$ with $\bigcap_{\beta\in E(x)} \tilde{V}^m_\beta = \{x\}$. Let $P_m = N_{\tilde{\mathsf{V}}_{\mathsf{m}}}$ for each $m \in E$ and $f^m_n : P_m \to P_n$ be uniformly continuous bonding mappings, $g(x) = \lim_m\{s_m, f^m_i\}$, where s_m are such that $s_m \ni x$ for each $m \in E$. Polyhedra P_m can be chosen such that $\sup_{(s\subset P_m)} diam(s) < p^{k(m)}b$ and $\lim_{m\in F} k(m) = -\infty$ for each linearly ordered subset F of E such that F is cofinal with the first countable ordinal ω_0, s are simplexes in P_m, $k(m) \le k(n)$ for each $m \ge n \in F$, where $k(m) \in \mathbf{Z}$, $b = const > 0$. Then $g : X \to \lim S$ is a uniform isomorphism. Using permissible modifications and Lemmas 3.2-3.17 we get the statement of this theorem.

4 Absolute polyhedral expansions and their applications.

Many of the results from [12] about absolute polyhedral expansions in the classical case (that is, for polyhedra over $\mathbf{R}$) may be transformed in the non-Archimedean case. Further the main differences are given. Part of lemmas and definitions from [12] may be naturally reformulated and proved, for example, with the substitution of barycentric subdivision into p^j-subdivision. We use Theorems 3.1, 3.18 and apply absolute polyhedral expansions at first to each ultrametric space Y_j, then to the entire ultrauniform space $(X,\mathbf{P})$. The polyhedra P considered in §4 as well as in §§2, 3 may have in general infinite dimension over $\mathbf{L}$.

4.1.1. Definitions and Notes. A proper p^j-subdivision of a complex K^a over $\mathbf{L}$ is called normal. Certainly $dim_{\mathbf{L}} K^a$ may be infinite. With the help of affine mappings for each simplexial complex K^a the space $|K^*|$ of its p^j-subdivision is the same as $|K^a|$. This space is denoted by K and is supplied with the ultrametric induced from $|K^a|$. A support of a subset $Y \subset K$ relative to complex K^a is the least subcomplex containing Y. A support of a point is a simplex s in K^a of minimal $dim_{\mathbf{L}} s$ containing it. If K^a_1 is a subcomplex (clopen) of a complex K^a, then the set $K \setminus K_1$ is called an open (clopen) subcomplex of a complex K^a. If A is an open (clopen) subcomplex of a complex K^a, then a set $K \setminus A$ is a space of some subcomplex (clopen) of K^a, which is denoted by $K^a \setminus A$. The closure of an open subcomplex A of a complex K^a is a subspace of all subcomplexes of K^a consisting of all simplexes interiors of which are contained in A and in addition of all its verges in the non-Archimedean sense (see §2). The least clopen subcomplex of the complex K^a containing X, $X \subset K^a$, is called the polyhedral neighbourhood or the clopen star of a set X relative to K^a and is denoted $K^a X$. They form a pointwise finite covering of K. Moreover, a point $x \in K$ is contained in a clopen simplex τ if and only if its vertexes $\{e_j : j \in J\}$ are all vertexes $\{e_j : j \in J\}$ stars of which contain this point x. A clopen star of a subcomplex is by definition a clopen star of its space. A clopen star $K^a K_1$ of a subcomplex K^a_1 in a complex K^a is by definition a union of all clopen simplexes in K^a which have nonvoid verge in the subcomplex K^a_1. This means that $K^a K_1$ is also a union of clopen stars of vertexes of the subcomplex K^a_1.

Subcomplex of a complex K^a a space of which is a clopen star of a set X (subcomplex X^a) is called a star of the set X (subcomplex X^a) and is denoted by $(K^a X)^a$ or by $\bar{K}^a X^a$, if X^a is the subcomplex of the complex K^a.

4.1.2. Lemma. *Let K^* be a normal, that is multiple p^j-subdivision, of a complex K^a and t be a simplex in K^*. Then there exists a vertex $e \in K^a$ such that $K^a t \subset K^a e$. Moreover, if K^a_1 is the subcomplex of the complex K^a such that $|t| \cap K_1 \ne \emptyset$, then e is the vertex in the subcomplex K^a_1.*

Proof. By the definition for each vertex $e \in t$ we have $K^a e \subset K^a_1$, if $|t| \cap K^a_1 \ne \emptyset$. Since simplexes s in K^* form a disjoint covering of $|K^*|$, then $K^* t \subset K^* e$.

4.1.3. Definition. A subcomplex K^a_1 of a complex K^a is called complete, if $s \subset K^a_1$ for each simpex s all vertexes of which are in K^a_1.

4.1.4. Lemmas. *Let K^a_1 be a complete subcomplex of a complex K^a.*

(i). *If K^* is a p^j-subdivision of a complex K^a, then a p^j-subdivision K^*_1 of the subcomplex K^a_1 induced by K^* is the complete subcomplex of the complex K^*.*

(ii). *If $f : N^a \to K^a$ is a simplexial mapping, then $f^{-1}K^a_1$ is a complete subcomplex of the complex N^a.*

Proof. (i). If τ is a simplex in K^a, then $\tau \cap K^a_1$ is a verge (may be void) of the simplex τ. On the other hand, there exists embedding of K^a into $c_0(\mathbf{L}, w(|K^a|))$. Therefore, $|K^a|$ has a disjoint paving by clopen balls B_l in $c_0(\mathbf{L}, w(|K^a|))$. For each simplex s in K^* there exists a ball B in $c_0(\mathbf{L}, w(|K^a|))$ such that $B \cap |K^*| = s$. Each p^j-subdivision of the simplex τ in K^a consists of a disjoint union of simplexes s_i in K^*.

(ii). If τ is a simplex in N^a, then $f|_\tau$ is an affine mapping, hence $f(\tau)$ is a disjoint union of simplexes from K^a_1. Therefore, $f^{-1}(f(\tau))$ is covered by disjoint family of simplexes from N^a. The same is true for verges of simplexes from N^a.

4.1.5. Note. In view of Lemmas 4.1.4 above Propositions I.1.3 and I.1.5 from [12] are true also in the non-Archimedean case.

4.1.6. Definition. Subcomplexes K^a_1 and K^a_2 of a complex K^a are called adjacent, if $K_1 = K \setminus K^a K_2$ and $K_2 = K \setminus K^a K_1$.

4.1.7. Lemma. *Adjacent subcomplexes K^a_1 and K^a_2 of a complex K^a are complete subcomplexes.*

Proof. If τ is a simplex or a verge of a simplex in K^a, then either $\tau \subset K^a_1$ or $\tau \subset K^a_2$ and $K^a_1 \cap K^a_2 = \emptyset$.

4.1.8. Definition. For complexes K^a_1 and K^a_2 their join $K^a_1 \circ K^a_2$ is defined in the corresponding Banach subspace Z of $c_0(\mathbf{L}, A_1) \oplus c_0(\mathbf{L}, A_2) \oplus \mathbf{L} = c_0(\mathbf{L}, A_1 + A_2 + 1)$ with $card(A_j) = w(K^a_j)$ analogously to the classical case with substitution of $\{x : 0 \le x \le 1\} = [0,1]_{\mathbf{R}} \subset \mathbf{R}$ into $B(\mathbf{L}, 0, 1) = [0,1] \subset \mathbf{L}$, where $j = 1$ or $j = 2$. Each simplexes τ_1 in K^a_1 and τ_2 in K^a_2 are characterized completely by their vertexes. Then each simplex τ in $K^a_1 \circ K^a_2$ has a set of vertexes Λ_τ, which is a union of sets Λ_{τ_j} of vertexes of the corresponding simplexes τ_j in K^a_j, where $j = 1$ or $j = 2$. When $K^a_1 \cap K^a_2 = \emptyset$, then $Z = c_0(\mathbf{L}, A_1 + A_2 + 1)$. In general $Z = cl[sp_{\mathbf{L}}(K_1 \cup K_2)]$.

4.1.9. Lemma. *K^a_1 and K^a_2 are adjacent subcomplexes of a complex K^a if and only if each simplex τ of a complex K^a has the form*

(i) $\tau = \tau_1 \circ \tau_2$, where τ_j are simplexes in K^a_j, $\tau_1 \cap \tau_2 = \emptyset$, $j = 1$ or $j = 2$.

Proof. If subcomplexes are adjacent, then $\tau_1 \circ \tau_2$ is obtained by junction of each pair of points $v_1 \in \tau_1$ and $v_2 \in \tau_2$ by a segment $[v_1, v_2]$ in the sense of

§2.8.(2).(iii). On the other hand, equation (i) is equivalent to $\Lambda_{\tau_1} \cap \Lambda_{\tau_2} = \emptyset$. The last equation for each pair of τ_1 in K_1^a and τ_2 in K_2^a implies that these subcomplexes are adjacent.

4.1.10. Note. In view of Lemma 4.1.9 above Propositions I.1.10 and I.1.11 from [12] are true also in the non-Archimedean case.

4.1.11. Definition and Note. Let K_1^a and K_2^a be adjacent subcomplexes of a complex K^a and K_1^* be a p^j-subdivision of a complex K_1^a. For each simplex τ_1 of a complex K_1^a let τ_1^* denotes be its p^j-subdivision induced by K_1^*. For each simplex τ in K^a let $\tau^* = \tau_1^* \circ \tau_2$, where $\tau_1 = \tau \cap K_1^a$, $\tau_2 = \tau \cap K_2^a$. Then the union $Sd_{K_1^*}K^a$ of complexes τ^* for all simplexes τ of K^a is a subdivision of a complex K^a. This gives possibility to consider more general subdivisions than p^j-subdivisions.

Evidently, analogs of Propositions I.1.12, I.1.13 and I.1.15 from [12] are true in the non-Archimedean case. The subdivision $Sd_{K_1^*}K^a$ of the complex K^a is called the minimal continuation of a p^j-subdivision K_1^* of a complete subcomplex K_1^a of the complex K^a.

4.1.12. Lemma. *$Sd_{K_1^*}K^a = K_2^a \cup Sd_{K_1^*}(K^aK_1^a)$, where $K^aK_1^a$ is a clopen star of the subcomplex K_1^a.*

Proof. $K \setminus (K_1 \cup K_2)$ is an intersection of clopen stars of complexes K_1^a and K_2^a.

4.1.13. Definitions and Notes. A mapping $h : K \to N$ is called linear relative to complexes K^a and N^a, if it is simplexial for the corresponding polyhedra. Since K^aS is the least clopen subcomplex of a complex K^a containing a subset $S \subset K$, then $K^aS \subset h^{-1}(N^ah(S))$, that is equivalent to $h(K^aS) \subset N^ah(S)$. For each mapping $f : X \to K^a$ by $\omega_f K^a$ is denoted a covering of a space X by $f^{-1}K^ae$, where K^ae are called main stars for vertexes e in K^a. Let $< N^a, K^a >_f$ denotes a subcomplex of a join $N^a \circ K^a$ of the complexes N^a and K^a such that its simplexes are simplexes of N^a and K^a and also $f(\tau) \circ \tau$ and all its verges, where τ is a simplex of the complex K^a. Then $< N^a, K^a >_f$ is called a cylinder of the simplexial mapping f. There exists a retraction $\tilde{f} :< N^a, K^a >_f \to N^a$ such that $\tilde{f}(x) = x$ for each $x \in N$, $\tilde{f}(x) = f(x)$ for each $x \in K$. Evidently, Propositions I.2.1, I.2.4-10 from [12] are true in the non-Archimedean case also.

4.1.14. Lemma. *$dim_{\mathbf{L}} < N^a, K^a >_f = \max(dim_{\mathbf{L}}N^a, dim_{\mathbf{L}}f(K^a) + dim_{\mathbf{L}}K^a + 1)$.*

Proof. $dim_{\mathbf{L}}f(\tau) \circ \tau \le dim_{\mathbf{L}}f(\tau) + dim_{\mathbf{L}}\tau + 1$ for each simplex τ in K^a.

4.2.1. Definitions and Notes. A polyhedral spectrum $\{X_i; f^i_j; \mathbf{N}\}$ is called standard if it satisfies conditions $S.1, S.3, S.4$ from [12] and the following condition:

$S.2$ f^{i+1}_i is a simplexial mapping of a complex X^a_{i+1} onto a normal subdivision (that is a p^j-subdivision with the corresponding $j \in \mathbf{N}$) X^*_i of a complex X^a_i for each $i \in \mathbf{N}$ such that

(i) $\lim_{j\to\infty} \sup_{\tau\subset X^a_j} diam(f^j_i(\tau)) = 0$, where τ are simplexes in X^a_j. The complex K^a is called uniform if the corresponding to it polyhedra over $\mathbf{L}$ is uniform.

An approximation of all subsets Y of an arbitrary ultrauniform space X simultaneously by one spectrum $\{X_\alpha; f^\alpha_\beta; \mathsf{U}\}$ is called absolute, that is for each $Y \subset X$ there exists $\mathsf{U}_Y \subset \mathsf{U}$ such that $Y = \lim\{X_\alpha; f^\alpha_\beta; \mathsf{U}\}$ and for each $Y' \subset Y$ the following inclusion $\mathsf{U}_{Y'} \subset \mathsf{U}_Y$ is satisfied. This decomposition is called

(a) irreducible if for each open $V \subset X$ there exists cofinal subset $\mathsf{U}_V \subset \mathsf{U}$ such that $\{X_\alpha; f^\alpha_\beta; \mathsf{U}_V\}$ is irreducible. It is called

(b) uniform if each subspectrum $\{X_\alpha; f^\alpha_\beta; \mathsf{U}_V\}$ is uniform.

An absolute polyhedral representation is called uniform from above, if polyhedra P satisfy Condition 2.8.(1.(i)). A polyhedral spectrum is called

(c) non-degenerate if f^α_β are non-degenerate, that is for each simplex s in X_α restrictions $f^\alpha_\beta|_s$ are simplexial and $dim_{\mathbf{L}} f^\alpha_\beta(s) = dim_{\mathbf{L}} s$.

Notations and propositions from §§II.1-II.10 [12] are transferable onto the non-Archimedean case due to above results and definitions with the substitution of barycentric subdivisions onto p^j-subdivisions (see for example, τ^*_{s+1} in §II.1.11 [12]).

Let K^c_i be defined as in §II.3.1 [12]. For two families Y^c and Y^c_1 of simplexes from K^c_1 a mapping $h : Y \to Y_1$ is called c-linear over the field $\mathbf{L}$, if for each simplex τ from Y^c (that is from some subcomplex $Y^c \cap K^a_{i,i+1}$, where $i \in \mathbf{N}$) there exists $q \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $h(\tau) \subset K_{q,q+1}$ and the mapping $h|_\tau$ is simplexial. In view of II.4.7 [12] $\{K_{1,i}; h_{j,i}; \mathbf{N}\}$ is an inverse spectrum, its limit space is denoted $^{\mathbf{N}}K$ and it is called the cone of the spectrum $\{K_{1,i}; h_{j,i}; \mathbf{N}\}$. Then $\alpha(i)$ is a space of a complete subcomplex $\alpha^a(i) \subset X^a_i$, $\alpha^q(i) = f^{-1}_{i+q,i}(\alpha(i))$, $\tilde{\alpha}^1(i) := X^a_{i+1}\alpha^1(i)$ is a polyhedral neighbourhood (clopen star) of a set $\alpha^1(i) \subset X_{i+1}$ relative to a complex X^a_{i+1}, since the space $X_{i+1} = |X^a_{i+1}|$ of a complex X^a_{i+1} is the disjoint union of the corresponding simplexes s forming its covering such that spaces $|s|$ of these simplexes are clopen in X_{i+1}. Propositions analogous to II.1.9, II.7.18,19, II.9.22 [12] follow from Lemma 4.1.9 above.

4.2.2. Lemma. *$dim_{\mathbf{L}}(X_\alpha \cap K_{i-1,i}) \le dim_{\mathbf{L}}\alpha(i)$.*

Proof. In view of §II.7.13 [12] we have $X_\alpha \cap K_{i-1,i} = X_{\alpha,i} \cap K_{i-1,i}$. Let $M^a_{\alpha,i}$ be a subcomplex of a complex $K^a_{i-1,i}$ such that $M^a_{\alpha,i}$ is a union of simplexes $\sigma \circ f_{i,i-1}(\sigma)$, where σ is a simplex of the complex X^a_i such that $f_{i,i-1}(\sigma) \subset X_{i-1} \setminus \tilde{\alpha}^1(i-2)$. Then $h_{i,\alpha} : M^a_{i,\alpha} \to M^a_{i,\alpha}$ is the simplexial mapping and $h_{i,\alpha}(M_{i,\alpha} \cap \alpha(i)) = X_{\alpha,i} \cap K_{i-1,i}$ and $h_{i,\alpha}(M_{\alpha,i} \cap K_{\alpha,i}) = X_{\alpha,i} \cap K_{i-1,i}$ (see also §§II.9.14-19 [12]). For each simplex s we have $dim_{\mathbf{L}} s = card(A_s)$, where $cl(sp_{\mathbf{L}} s) = c_0(\mathbf{L}, A_s)$, the closure is taken in the corresponding Banach space $c_0(\mathbf{L}, A)$ in which the complex is contained, $sp_{\mathbf{L}} s$ denotes the $\mathbf{L}$-linear span of s.

4.2.3. Lemma. *For each $i \in \mathbf{N}$ and $\alpha \in \mathsf{U}$ there are mappings $h_{i,\alpha}$ satisfying conditions*

(1) *$h_{i,\alpha}$ is c-linear over $\mathbf{L}$;*

(2) *$h_{i,\alpha}(x) = h_{i-1,\alpha}(x)$ for each $x \in K_{1,i-1}$;*

(3) *$h_{i,\alpha}(x) = h_{i-1,\alpha} h_{i,i-1}(x)$ for each $x \in h^{-1}_{i,i-1}(\alpha(i-1))$;*

(4) *$h_{i,\alpha}(x) = x$ for each $x \in X_i \setminus \tilde{\alpha}^1(i-1)$.*

Proof. For $i = 1$ the mapping $h_{1,\alpha}$ is identical for each $\alpha \in \mathsf{U}$ in accordance with §II.9.7 [12], hence it satisfies Conditions $(1-4)$. Let $h_{i,\alpha}$ satisfies Conditions $(1-4)$ for each $i \le n$. Then $h_{n+1,\alpha}$ is defined by Conditions $(2-4)$. Moreover, $\alpha(n)$ and $\tilde{\alpha}^1(n)$ may be taken clopen in X_n and $D_1 := h^{-1}_{n+1,n}(\alpha(n))$ are clopen in X_{n+1}, then D_1 and $D_2 := K_{1,n}$ and $D_3 := M_{\alpha,n+1}$ are closed in $K_{1,n+1}$. For each simplex $\tau \subset K_{1,n+1}$ each point $x \in \tau$ has the form $x = a_1x_1 + a_2x_2 + a_3x_3$, where $a_j \in B(\mathbf{L}, 0, 1)$, $x_j \in D_j$. Let $g : c_0 \oplus c_0 \oplus c_0 \to c_0$ be the mapping of evaluation, that is, $g(x_1, x_2, x_3) = x_1 + x_2 + x_3$, $h^j : D_j \to K_{1,n+1}$ are continuous mappings, so $h_{n+1,\alpha}(x) = (g(a_1h^1(x_1), a_2h^2(x_2), a_3h^3(x_3))$ is continuous. If to consider uniform polyhedra, then the simplexial mappings $f : K^a \to N^a$ are considered as uniformly continuous. Then to the cylinder $< N^a, K^a >_f$ it corresponds the uniform polyhedron, since $f(K) = N$. Then $K^a_{i,j}$, X^a_j, X^*_i, $K^*_{i,j}$ and $K^c_{i,j}$ from §II.1 in [12] correspond the uniform polyhedra over $\mathbf{L}$, since $K^*_{i,j} = Sd_{X_j} * K^a_{i,j}$ and $\inf_{(V \cap W = \emptyset, V \text{ and } W \text{ are simplexes from } K^*_{i,j})} dist(V,W) > 0$. For $T = K_i = \bigcup_{j \in \mathbf{N}} K_{j,j+1}$, K^c_i, K^c_α and X^c_α the latter condition may be not satisfied, but $\sup_{(V \text{ is simplex from } T)} diam(V) < \infty$. At the same time T is not necessarily complete, since it is not necessarily ANRU. If $f_{j,i} = f^j_i : X_j \to X_i$,

$f_j : {}^{\mathbf{N}}X \to X_i$ are non-stretching (uniformly continuous), then $h_{j,i}$ from §II.4 and h_i from §II.5 in [12] are also non-stretching (uniformly continuous respectively). Then from $h_{1,\alpha} = id$ and $(1-4)$ with induction by j for each α it follows that $h_{j,\alpha}$ are non-stretching (uniformly continuous correspondingly). From this it follows that the mappings h_α from §II.10 and hence also $f_{\alpha,\beta}$ and f_α from §II.11 and §II.9.27 [12] are non-stretching (uniformly continuous respectively).

4.2.4. Lemma. *For each open covering V of W which is a clopen subset in a limit $\lim_j\{X_j, f_i^j, \mathbf{N}\} =: {}^{\mathbf{N}}X$ of an inverse sequence of polyhedra there are $\alpha \in \mathsf{U}$ such that $W_\alpha = W$ and a clopen covering $w_{f_\alpha}X^*_\alpha \subset \{f_\alpha^{-1}(X^*_\alpha q) : q\}$ of W_α by $f_\alpha^{-1}(X^*_\alpha q)$ of the main stars $X^*_\alpha q$ of the complex X^*_α is refined into V.*

Proof. Let $\alpha^a(i)$ be a subcomplex of a complex X_i^a such that a simplex σ of a complex X_i^a is a simplex of a complex $\alpha^a(i)$ if and only if $f_i^{-1}(X_i^a e)$ is contained in the corresponding element $U(e)$ of a covering V for each its vertex e. Then $\alpha^a(i)$ is a complete subcomplex of a complex X_i^a. That for a sequence $\alpha(i)$ to verify condition

(A) $\tilde{\alpha}^1(i) \subset \alpha(i+1)$ for each $i \in \mathbf{N}$ as in §II.11.20 [12] it is sufficient to mention that X_i^* is the p^j-subdivision of the complex X_i^a with $j \ge 1$, so $X_i^a\tau \subset X_i^a q$ for some vertex $q \in X_i^a$. In this case the main stars are clopen, hence $w_{f_\alpha}X^*_\alpha$ is the clopen covering. If $\{q_j : j = 0, 1, 2, ...\}$ are vertexes from X_i^a stars of which contain $f_i(x)$, then there is a clopen simplex $\tau \subset X_i^a$ with such vertexes and $f_i(x) \in \tau$. At the same time the star $St(q_j, w_{f_i}X_i^a)$ with each q_j is contained in V, consequently, $\tau \subset \alpha^a(i)$ and $f_i^{-1}(\alpha(i))$ is clopen in W_α. Each p^j-subdivision X^*_{i-1} of a complex X^a_{i-1} is proper for each $j \in \mathbf{N}$, consequently, $X^*_{i-1}\sigma \subset X^a_{i-1}q$ for some suitable vertex q in the complex X^a_{i-1}, where σ is the simplex in X^*_{i-1}. Therefore, $h_{i-1}(X^*_\alpha e) \subset X^*_{i-1}q$, where q is a vertex of the complex $\alpha^a(i-1)$. Since $f_\alpha^{-1}(X^*_\alpha q)$ contains the clopen subcovering, then W_α is clopen and $W_\alpha = W$. In the case of separable $f_\alpha^{-1}(X^*_\alpha q)$ this subcovering can be chosen disjoint due to ultrametrizability of $f_\alpha^{-1}(X^*_\alpha q)$ (see Theorem 7.3.3 in [3]).

Vice versa, let $x \in W$ and $x \in U \in V$. Coverings $\omega_{f_i}X^*_\alpha$ satisfy Condition 4.2.1.(i) such that $St(x, \omega_{f_i}X_i^a) \subset U$. Let $\{e_j : j \in \Lambda_{i,x}\}$ be vertexes of the complex X_i^a, stars of which contain the point $f_i(x)$. Then $f_i(x) \in \tau$, where τ is a clopen simplex of a complex X_i^a with the described above vertexes. Since $f_i^{-1}(X_i^a e_j) \subset U$ for each $j \in \Lambda_{i,x}$, then τ is the simplex in the complex $\alpha^a(i)$. Therefore, $x \in f_i^{-1}(\tau) \subset f_i^{-1}(\alpha(i)) \subset V_\alpha$, hence $W = V_\alpha$.

4.2.5. Lemma. *If* $Y \subset {}^{\mathbf{N}}X$, $f_i(Y) = X$, $f_i^j : X_j \to X_i$ *are irreducible mappings for each* $j \ge i \in \mathbf{N}$, *then* $f_\alpha^\beta : X_\beta^* \to X_\alpha^*$ *are irreducible and* $f_\alpha(Y \cap V_\alpha) = X_\alpha^*$ *for each* $\alpha \in \mathsf{U}$ *and* Y *is dense in* ${}^{\mathbf{N}}X$. *If* f_i *are irreducible for each* i, *then* f_α *are irreducible for each* $\alpha \in \mathsf{U}$.

Proof. From irredicibility of f_i^j and Lemma 3.5 it follows that for each (clopen) simplex $\tau \subset X_i^a$ the mapping $f_i^j|_{(f_i^j)^{-1}(\tau) \subset X_j}$ is essential. Let $\beta \in \mathsf{U}$ and t be a simplex in the complex X_β^*, then there exists some p^j-subdivision σ^* of the simplex σ from $K_{i-1,i}^a \cap X_{\beta,i}^c$ and there exists a simplex τ from $M_{\alpha,i} \cap \alpha(i)$ such that $\sigma = h_{i,\alpha}(\tau)$ and t is a simplex from σ^*. From §II.3.2,10.2 (c-linearity of $h_\alpha : K_1 \to K_1$), §II.7.1.4,7.15 and §II.4 ($f_{\beta,\alpha} = h_\alpha|_{X_\beta}$, $X_\alpha = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbf{N}} X_{\alpha,i}$, $X_{\alpha,i}^c = X_\alpha^c \cap K_{1,i}^c$) it follows that $f_\alpha^\beta = f_{\beta,\alpha}$ is irreducible due to Lemmas 3.4 and 3.5, since h_α is essential on $t \subset X_\beta$. Indeed, due to II.4.9 we have $h_{j,i} = f_{j,i}$ for $x \in X_j$ and $j \ge i$. In view of II.10.3 we have $h_\alpha(x) = h_{i,\alpha}(x)$ for $x \in K_{1,\alpha}$. In view of II.9.1 we have $h_\alpha(x) : K_{1,i} \to K_{1,i}$ is c-linear and $h_{1,\alpha} = id$, in addition, by II.9.3 $h_{i,\alpha}(x) = h_{i-1,\alpha} \circ h_{i,i-1}(x)$ for $x \in h_{i,i-1}^{-1}(\alpha(i-1))$, by II.9.4 $h_{i,\alpha}(x) = x$ for $x \in X_i \setminus \tilde{\alpha}^1(i-1)$, also $\tilde{\alpha}^1(i) = X_{i+1}^a \alpha^1(i)$ is the clopen star relative to the complex X_{i+1}^a, where $\alpha^1(i)$ is the clopen subcomplex (and the subpolyhedron as the subspace) in X_{i+1}^a, consequently, we can suppose that $\tilde{\alpha}^1(i) = \alpha^1(i)$ in this non-Archimedean case. The rest of the statements of this Lemma follows from §II.11.22 in [12].

4.2.6. Definition. A mapping $f : X \to K^a$ into a simplexial complex K^a is called locally finite, if each $x \in X$ has a neighbourhood U such that $f(U)$ is contained in a finite subcomplex (that is the subcomplex consisting of finite number of simplexes and their verges) of a complex K^a.

4.2.7. Lemma. *If all mappings* $f_i : {}^{\mathbf{N}}X \to X_i$ *are locally finite and* X_i *are locally finite-dimensional over* $\mathbf{L}$, *then all mappings* $f_\alpha : V_\alpha \to X_\alpha^*$ *are locally finite.*

Proof. Since X_i are locally finite-dimensional over $\mathbf{L}$, then each its p^j-subdivision is also locally finite-dimensional over $\mathbf{L}$, hence X_i^* and X_α^c are locally finite-dimensional over $\mathbf{L}$, since $\mathbf{L}$ is locally compact. For $x \in V_\alpha$ and $\alpha \in \mathsf{U}$ we have $x \in f^{-1}(\tilde{\alpha}^1(i))$, since $V_\alpha = \bigcup_{i=1}^\infty f_{i+1}^{-1}(\tilde{\alpha}^1(i))$. If W is a neighbourhood of x such that $f_{i+1}(W)$ is a finite subcomplex P^a of a complex X_{i+1}^a. Taking $W \subset f_{i+1}^{-1}(\alpha(i+1))$, then $P \subset \alpha(i+1)$. Since h_α is c-linear over $\mathbf{L}$, then $h_\alpha(P)$ is contained in a finite number of simplexes from X_α^c, hence in a finite number of simplexes of its subdivision X_α^*, hence f_α is locally finite, since $f_\alpha|_{f_{i+1}^{-1}(\alpha(i+1))} = h_\alpha f_{i+1}|_{f_{i+1}^{-1}(\alpha(i+1))}$ due to Propositions II.10.4 and II.11.3

[12].

4.2.8. Lemma. *If all X_i are finite-dimensional $dim(X_i) < \infty$, then the complexes X_α can be chosen locally finite and such that $dim_{\mathbf{L}}(X_\alpha) \le \sup_{i\in \mathbf{N}} dim_{\mathbf{L}} X_i$.*

Proof. This follows from Theorems 3.1, 3.18, since complexes K_1 for each Y_j can be chosen locally finite and $X_\alpha \subset K_1$. The second statement follows from Lemma 4.2.2.

4.2.9. Theorem. *Each ultrauniform space $(X, \mathbf{P})$ has an irreducible absolute normal and uniform from above polyhedral representation*

$$T := \{X_\beta, f^\alpha_\beta, \mathsf{U}\}$$

over $\mathbf{L}$, that is, for each $Y \subset (\tilde{X}, \mathbf{P})$ there exists an ordered subset $\mathsf{U}_Y \subset \mathsf{U}$ such that there exists an uniform isomorphism

$$^Y f : Y \to \lim\{X_\beta, f^\beta_\alpha, \mathsf{U}_Y\}$$

(that is $^Y f$ is a bijective surjective mapping, $^Y f$ and $^Y f^{-1}$ are uniformly continuous). Moreover, as an absolute polyhedral expansion T (not necessarily uniform such that X is homeomorphic with $\lim T$) may be chosen locally finite-dimensional X_β over the field $\mathbf{L}$. If $dim(X) = k$, then there exists k-dimensional T over $\mathbf{L}$ and

$$\{X_\beta, f^\alpha_\beta, \mathsf{U}_X\}$$

is non-degenerate (and irreducible for complete X) such that there exists a homeomorphism

$$g : X \to \lim\{X_\beta, f^\alpha_\beta, \mathsf{U}_X\}$$

with irreducible surjective mappings $g_\alpha := f_\alpha \circ g$.

Proof. Let $S = \{X_j, f^j_i\}$ be an inverse polyhedral sequence over $\mathbf{L}$. Then sections X_α and bonding mappings f^β_α for $\beta \ge \alpha \in \mathsf{U}$ form the inverse mapping system

$$R = \{X_\beta, f^\beta_\alpha, \mathsf{U}\}$$

that is an expansion of S and the absolute polyhedral expansion for $X = \lim S$. If X_i are uniform polyhedra and f^j_i are non-stretching (or uniformly continuous) irreducible simplexial mappings for each $j \ge i \in \mathbf{N}$, then the same is true for f^β_α for each $\beta \ge \alpha \in \mathsf{U}$. From Theorem 3.18, Lemmas and Notes 4.1.1-4.2.8 above and §II.12 in [12] it follows the existence of the homeomorphism

$$^{Y}f : \lim\{X_\beta, f^\beta_\alpha, \mathsf{U}_Y\} \to Y$$

such that ^{Y}f is uniformly continuous, since f^β_α and f_α are non-stretching. From Lemma 3.17 it follows that ^{Y}f is the uniform embedding, since

$$\lim_{i\to\infty} \sup_{(s \text{ are simplexes from } X^a_i)} diam(s) = 0$$

and due to the consideration of the sequence $\{\alpha(j) : j \ge i\} = \alpha$. Indeed, the corresponding X_α has the cofinal subset $E \subset \mathsf{U}_Y$ such that for each $b > 0$ the set $\{\alpha : \sup_{(s \text{ are simplexes from } X_\alpha)} diam(s) > b$ is finite and $V_\alpha = h^{-1}_\alpha(X_\alpha) \cap {}^{\mathbf{N}}X$ and $Y = \bigcap_{\alpha\in\mathsf{U}_Y} V_\alpha$, so that $^{Y}f^{-1}$ is uniformly continuous.

Each ultrametric space (X, ρ) has the topological embedding into $B(\mathbf{L}, 0, 1)^{w(X)}$ and into $D^{w(X)}$ which are compact (see §6.2.16 in [3]). In particular, the separable space X has the embedding into $B(\mathbf{L}, 0, 1)^q$ for each $q \in \mathbf{N}$ and for $q = \aleph_0$, but not uniformly in general, for example, $c_0(\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{N})$ is complete, but it is not compact. From this the last statement of the Theorem follows. That is, for the polyhedral expansions reproducing the uniformity up to an isomorphism with the initial ultrauniform space in general infinite-dimensioanl over $\mathbf{L}$ polyhedra may be necessary and $\sup_\beta dim_{\mathbf{L}} X_\beta \le w(X)$. For the polyhedral expansion reproducing X up to a (topological) homeomorphism locally finite-dimensional X_β are sufficient, that is for each $x \in X_\beta$ there exists a clopen simplex s in X_β such that $x \in s$ and $dim_{\mathbf{L}} s < \aleph_0$, since $\mathbf{L}^{w(X)}$ is a limit of an inverse system of finite-dimensional polyhedra over $\mathbf{L}$. In view of Lemma 2.5 it is sufficient to take U with $card(\mathsf{U}) \le \aleph_0 card(\mathbf{P}')2^{w(X)}$, where $\mathbf{P}'$ is a subfamily of $\mathbf{P}$ of minimal cardinality, which generate the same uniformity in X, since sections X_α of the spectrum for each ultrametric space Y_j are spaces of X^c_α for Y_j, where X^c_α is a collection of simplexes s from $K^c_1 = \bigcup_{q=1}^\infty K^a_{q,q+1}$ such that all vertexes of s are in $\bigcup_{q=1}^\infty(\alpha(q) \setminus \tilde{\alpha}^1(q-1))$. If $card(X) \ge \aleph_0$, then $\aleph_0 card(\mathbf{P}')2^{w(X)} = 2^{w(X)}$, since $2^{w(X)} > \aleph_0 card(\mathbf{P}')$.

If $dim(X) = k$, then by Theorem 3.18 there exists k-dimensional over $\mathbf{L}$ inverse mapping system S associated with coverings of order k. For $dim(X) = \infty$ we can use orders of coverings as cardinals equal to $k \ge \aleph_0$ and as follows from results above bonding mappings f^n_m and polyhedra P_m can be chosen such that there exists $m \in \mathsf{U}_X$ with $dim_{\mathbf{L}} P_m = k$. The final part of the proof is analogous to that of Theorem 12.21 [12].

4.2.10. Corollary. *If in a complete ultrauniform space* $(X, \mathbf{P})$ *a subspace* R *is compact and each closed subset* G*,* $G \subset X \setminus R$ *is such that* G *may be uniformly embedded into* $B(\mathbf{L^n}, 0, 1)$ *with* $n = n(G) \in \mathbf{N}$*, then* X *is uniformly isomorphic with* $\lim S$*, where* $S = \{P_m, f^m_n, E\}$ *is an irreducible normal inverse mapping system and* P_m *are uniform finite-dimensional over* $\mathbf{L}$ *polyhedra.*

Proof. For $X_\rho \setminus R_\rho$ there is a sequence of closed subsets $G_\rho(k)$ with $\bigcup_{k \in \mathbf{N}} G_\rho(k) = X_\rho \setminus R_\rho$ and uniform clopen neighbourhoods $G_\rho(k) \subset U_\rho(k) \subset B(\mathbf{L^n}, 0, r_n)$, $n = n(G_\rho(k))$ such that there exists a uniformly continuous retraction $r_{k,\rho} : U_\rho(k) \to G_\rho(k)$ and a family

$$b_{\rho,k} := \sup_{y \in U_\rho(k)} \inf_{x \in G_\rho(k)} \rho(x, y)$$

satisfying conditions of Lemma 3.17. From $R_\rho \subset B(\mathbf{L^n}, 0, 1)$ and the existence of the family $\{U_\rho(k)\}$ such that $\lim\{U_\rho(k); f^{\rho,k}_{\rho',k'}, F\} = X \setminus Int(R)$ for some directed $F \subset \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{N}$ it follows the existence of the inverse spectra S. Indeed, $f_{\rho,k}$ may be extended on $R \setminus Int(R)$ and compacts $f_{\rho,k}(R \setminus Int(R))$ may be uniformly embedded into $U_\rho(k)$, and spectra for R and $X \setminus Int(R)$ may be done consistent on $R \setminus Int(R)$ that to get S for X (see also Lemmas 3.2, 3.3.1).

4.2.11. Note. In view of Theorem 1.7 in [7] an incomplete uniform space $(X, \mathbf{P})$ is not ANRU, hence in S in general polyhedra X_α are incomplete, for example, $X_\alpha = \mathbf{Q} \times B(\mathbf{L}, 0, 1)$ in $\mathbf{L^2}$.

4.3. Theorem. *Let* G *be a locally compact group with a left ultrauniformity* $\mathbf{P}$ *and* X *is closed in* G*. Then* X *is uniformly isomorphic with a limit of an inverse mapping system* $\lim S$ *and* P_m *are finite-dimensional uniform polyhedra over* $\mathbf{L}$ *of an irreducible normal inverse mapping system* $S = \{P_m, f^m_n, E\}$*.*

Proof. Let V be a compact clopen neighbourhood of the unit element $e \in G$, $\mathbf{P}$ be the family of the left -invariant ultrapseudometrics in G ($\rho(yx, yz) = \rho(x, z)$ for each x, y, $z \in G$ and $\rho \in \mathbf{P}$, see [3, 11]). That is $V = \{x \in G : \rho(x, e) \le b\}$ for some $\rho \in \mathbf{P}$ and $b \in \Gamma_{\mathbf{L}}$. The closed subgroup $H_\rho := \{x \in G : \rho(x, e) = 0\}$ defines the equivalence relation T_ρ in G: $gT_\rho h$, if $h^{-1}g \in H_\rho$, since the left classes hH_ρ are either disjoint or coinside. Therefore, there exists the uniformly continuous quotient mapping $\pi_\rho : G \to G_\rho := G/T_\rho$, in addition $V \supset H_\rho$ and $VH_\rho = V$. From the compactness of $V/T_\rho =: V_\rho$ it follows that G_ρ is a locally compact ultrametric space. From the completeness of X it follows that X_ρ is closed in $G_\rho \hookrightarrow c_0(\mathbf{L}, A_\rho)$ with $card(A_\rho) = w(G_\rho)$. Therefore, $X \cap V$ has due to Theorem 3.18 the finite-dimensional over $\mathbf{L}$ polyhedral expansion. In G there is a family M of elements such that $gV \cap hV = \emptyset$ for each $g \ne h \in M$ and $G = \bigcup_{g \in M} gV$. If $g \ne h \in M$, then $\rho(g,h) > b$, since from $\rho(g,h) \le b$ it would follow that $\rho(gV, hV) \le b$ and $gV \cap hV \ne \emptyset$, that contradicts the definition of M. Then $Y(g) \cap Y(h) = \emptyset$ for $g \ne h \in M$ with $Y(g) := \pi_\rho(gV)$ and $G_\rho = \bigoplus_{g \in M} Y(g)$ and $X_\rho = \bigoplus_{g \in M} X_\rho \cap Y(g)$. From this the statement of the Theorem follows.

4.4. Theorem. *An ultrauniform space* $(X, \mathbf{P})$ *is homeomorphic with* $\lim S$ *with Čech-complete finite-dimensional over* $\mathbf{L}$ *polyhedra* P_m *and an irreducible inverse mapping system* $S = \{P_m, f^m_n, F\}$ *if and only if* X *is Čech-complete.*

Proof. At first we verify that for $(X, \mathbf{P})$ the condition of Čech-completeness is equivalent with the $\mathbf{L}$-completeness. The latter means that X has the embedding as the closed subspace into $\mathbf{L}^\tau$, where $card(\tau) \le w(X)$. If X is $\mathbf{L}$-complete, then X has the embedding as the closed subspace into $\mathbf{R}^\tau$, since $\mathbf{L}$ is Lindelöf and X is Čech-complete due to §3.11.3, 3.11.6 and 3.11.12 in [3].

To prove the reverse statement we verify that the $\mathbf{L}$-completeness is equivalent to the satisfaction of the following conditions: there is not any Tychonoff space Y for which

$(LC1)$ there is the homeomorphic embedding $r : X \to Y$ with $r(X) \ne cl(r(X)) = Y$ and

$(LC2)$ for each continuous function $f : X \to \mathbf{L}$ there is a continuous function $g : Y \to \mathbf{L}$ such that $g \circ r = f$. Evidently, that it is sufficient to consider the case of ultrametrizable X. For such X the family of continuous $f : X \to \mathbf{L}$ separates points $x \in X$ and closed subsets C for which $x \notin C$, for example, $f(x) = \rho(x, C) = \inf_{y \in C} \rho(x,y)$. Then substituting $\mathbf{R}$ on $\mathbf{L}$ in the proof of Theorem 3.11.3 [3] we get the desired statement.

There is a continuous function $h : \mathbf{L} \to \mathbf{R}$ with $h(\mathbf{L}) = \mathbf{R}$ and for each continuous $q : X \to \mathbf{R}$ there is a continuous function $t : X \to \mathbf{L}$ such that $h \circ t = q$. The latter is sufficient to verify for (X, ρ), since for $(X, \mathbf{P})$ this statement may be obtained with the limits of the inverse system. For (X, ρ) and each $b_n > 0$ there exists a locally constant $t_n : X \to \mathbf{L}$ such that $h \circ t_n$ and q are b_n-close, since (X, ρ) is the disjoint union of clopen subsets $Y(j)$ with $\sup_j diam(f(Y(j)) \le b$, $h^{-1}(Y(j)) \subset \mathbf{L}$, $h^{-1}(Y(j)) \cap h^{-1}(Y(i)) = \emptyset$ for $i \ne j$. Therefore, there exists a sequence $\{t_n : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ giving the desired

$t = \lim_{n\to\infty} t_n$, where $\lim_{n\to\infty} b_n = 0$. Let $(X, \mathbf{P})$ is Čech-complete and not $\mathbf{L}$-complete, then there would be a homeomorphic embedding $r : X \to Y$ with $r(X) \ne cl(r(X)) = Y$ and for each continuous function $f : X \to \mathbf{L}$ there is a continuous function $g : Y \to \mathbf{L}$ with $g \circ r = f$. Consequently, each continuous function $q : X \to \mathbf{R}$ has continuous functions $t : X \to \mathbf{L}$ and $g : Y \to \mathbf{L}$ with $g \circ r = t$ and $h \circ g \circ r = h \circ t = q$, that contradicts Čech-completeness of X.

If X is homeomorphic with $\lim S$, then X is Čech-complete, since each P_m is Lindelöf and Čech-complete.

If X is Čech-complete, then X is homeomorphic with $\lim\{X_\alpha, g^\alpha_\beta, E\}$, where each X_α is locally compact and has the embedding into $\mathbf{L}^{\mathbf{n}(\alpha)}$ as the clopen subspace, where $n(\alpha) \in \mathbf{N}$ (see also Corollary 5 from Theorem 9 in [20] and Proposition 3.2.17 in [4]). From Theorems 3.18 and 4.9 it follows that X is homeomorphic with $\lim S$, where P_m are finite-dimensional over $\mathbf{L}$ polyhedra.

5 Polyhedral expansions and relations between ultrauniform and uniform spaces.

5.1. Theorem. *For each ultrauniform space* (X, U) *there exists a uniform locally connected space* (Y, V) *and a continuous quotient mapping* $\theta : X \to Y$ *such that*

(i) $\theta(X) = Y$, $d(X) = d(Y)$, $w(X) = w(Y)$;

(ii) *if* X *is dense in itself, then* Y *is dense in itself;*

(iii) *if* $X = \bigoplus_j X_j$, *then* $Y = \bigoplus_j Y_j$;

(iv) *there exist natural compactifications* cX *and* $c_\theta Y$ *such that* θ *has a continuous extension on* cX *and* $\theta(cX) = c_\theta Y$;

(v) *if* Z *is a subspace of* X, *then there exists a subspace* W *of* Y *such that Condition* (i) *is satisfied for* Z *and* W *instead of* X *and* Y;

(vi) *if* X *has a* n*-dimensional polyhedral expansion over* $\mathbf{L}$, *then* Y *has a* n*-dimensional polyhedral expansion over* $\mathbf{R}$.

Proof. In view of Theorem 2.3.24 [3] the Cantor cube D^{m} has the weight m, where D is the two-point discrete set, $D^{\mathsf{m}} = \prod_{s\in S} D_s$, $card(S) = \mathsf{m}$, $D_s = D$ for each $s \in S$. In view of Corollary 6.2 [3] for each non-Archimedean infinite locally compact field $\mathbf{L}$ with a non-trivial valuation a ball $B(\mathbf{L}, x, r) := \{y \in \mathbf{L} : |x-y| \le r\}$ is homeomorphic to $D^{\aleph_0}$. On the other hand, there exists a well-known quotient mapping of $D^{\aleph_0}$ onto $[0,1]$. It can be also realized for $B(\mathbf{L}, 0, 1)$ and in particular for $\mathbf{Z_p} := B(\mathbf{Q_p}, 0, 1)$, where p is a prime. Let s be a unit ball in $c_0(\mathbf{L}, \alpha)$ and $\theta : B(\mathbf{L}, 0, 1) \to [0,1]$ be a quotient mapping. Then this mapping generates a mapping $\theta : s \to \theta(s) \subset c_0(\mathbf{R}, \alpha)$, where $\theta(s) = \{y \in \theta(x) : x = (x^j : j \in \alpha), |x^j| \le 1, x \in c_0(\mathbf{L}, \alpha)\}$, hence $(y^j : j \in \alpha) = y$, $y^j = \theta(x^j)$ and for each $\epsilon > 0$ we have $card\{j : |x^j| > \epsilon\} < \aleph_0$, hence $card\{j : |y^j| > \epsilon\} < \aleph_0$ such that $y^j \in [0,1]$. If $\{e_j : j \in \alpha\}$ is the standard orthonormal basis in $c_0(\mathbf{R}, \alpha)$, then under the embedding $c_0(\mathbf{R}, \alpha) \hookrightarrow c_0(\mathbf{R}, \alpha)^*$ associated with this basis to each e_j there corresponds a continuous linear functional $e^j \in c_0(\mathbf{R}, \alpha)^*$, where $c_0(\mathbf{R}, \alpha)^*$ is a topological dual space, such that $e^j(e_i) = \delta^j_i$. Therefore, $\theta(s) = \{y \in c_0(\mathbf{R}, \alpha) : 0 \le e^j(y) \le 1\}$, which is by definition a simplex in $c_0(\mathbf{R}, \alpha)$ such that if $y \in \theta(s)$ and $0 < \|y\| < 1$, then $B(c_0(\mathbf{R}, \alpha), y, \min(\|y\|, 1 - \|y\|)) \subset \theta(s)$.

On the other hand, if b is a simplex in $c_0(\mathbf{R}, \alpha)$ and $b \subset B(c_0(\mathbf{R}, \alpha), 0, 1)$, then $\theta^{-1}(Int(b))$ is open in $c_0(\mathbf{R}, \alpha)$, $\theta^{-1}(Int(b)) \ne \emptyset$ if $Int(b) \ne \emptyset$, since θ is continuous. Choose α such that b is a canonical closed subset in $c_0(\mathbf{R}, \alpha)$, then $\theta^{-1}(b)$ is closed in $c_0(\mathbf{L}, \alpha)$. Therefore, $cl(\theta^{-1}(Int(b))) = \theta^{-1}(b)$, since $\theta^{-1}(Int(b)) \subset \theta^{-1}(b)$ and if c is a canonical closed subset in $[0,1]$, then $\theta^{-1}(c)$ is a canonical closed subset in $D^{\aleph_0}$.

If $X = \lim\{X_\alpha, f^\alpha_\beta, E\}$ is a spectral decomposition of an ultrauniform space and X_α are polyhedra in $c_0(\mathbf{L}, \gamma_\alpha)$, then θ generates $\tilde{\theta}_\alpha : X_\alpha \to \tilde{\theta}_\alpha(X_\alpha)$, where $\tilde{\theta}_\alpha(X_\alpha)$ are polyhedra in $c_0(\mathbf{R}, \gamma_\alpha)$, since each X_α can be presented as a union of simplexes of diameter not greater, than 1. Then $\tilde{f}^\alpha_\beta := \tilde{\theta}_\beta \circ f^\alpha_\beta \circ \tilde{\theta}^{-1}_\alpha : \tilde{\theta}_\alpha(X_\alpha) \to \tilde{\theta}_\beta(X_\beta) =: \tilde{X}_\beta$ are continuous bonding mappings. In view of Lemma 2.5.9 [3] the topological space $\tilde{\theta}(X) = \lim\{\tilde{X}_\alpha, \tilde{f}^\alpha_\beta, E\}$ is locally connected and $\tilde{\theta}(X)$ is the quotient space of X.

Now we proof that if X is given, then Y satisfies Conditions $(i - vi)$. In view of Proposition 2.5.6 [3] are satisfied (iii, v). On the other hand, $dim_{\mathbf{L}} X_\alpha = dim_{\mathbf{R}} \tilde{X}_\alpha$ for each $\alpha \in E$, consequently, (vi) is fulfilled. Since $d(X_\alpha) = d(\tilde{X}_\alpha)$ and $w(X_\alpha) = w(\tilde{X}_\alpha)$ for each α, then (i) is fulfilled. If x is an isolated point in X, then there exists $\alpha \in E$ such that x_α is an isolated point of X_α, consequently, $\tilde{x}_\alpha$ is an isolated point of $\tilde{X}_\alpha$.

For prooving (iv) we consider cX equal to some specific compactification $\tilde{c}\tilde{X}$ of $\tilde{X}$, where $\tilde{X}$ is a completion of the uniform space X. Therefore, the consideration reduces to the case of a complete X. In such case X has the uniform polyhedral expansion such that conditions 2.8(i, ii) are satisfied. Then we take cX as $\lim\{c_\alpha X_\alpha, \bar{f}^\alpha_\beta, E\}$, where $c_\alpha X_\alpha$ are compact spaces. Since each X_α is a uniform polyhedron we take $c_\alpha X_\alpha$ as a topological sum of compactifications of disjoint polyhedra s in X_α. Practically we take the Banaschewski compactification of each s [22]. If $s = B(c_0(\mathbf{L}, \gamma_\alpha), x, r)$, then its Banaschewski compactification s^ζ is isomorphic with $B(\mathbf{L}, 0, 1)^a$, where $a = 2^{\gamma_\alpha \aleph_0}$. Therefore, $\tilde{\theta}_\alpha$ has a continuous extension onto $c_\alpha X_\alpha = \bigoplus_{s \in X_\alpha} c_\alpha s$. Evidently, $\tilde{\theta}_\alpha(s) = [0, 1]^a$ is the Stone-Čech compactification of $\tilde{\theta}(s)$.

It remains to prove statements about compactifications of simplexes. Let $Bco(s)$ denotes an algebra of clopen subsets of s. Instead of a ring homomorphism $\eta : Bco(s) \to \mathbf{F_2}$ in the Banaschewski construcion let us consider a ring homomorphism $\eta : Bco(s) \to \mathbf{Z_p}$, where $\mathbf{F_2}$ is a finite field consisting of two elements. Topologically $\mathbf{Z_p}$ is homeomorphic to $\mathbf{F_2}^{\aleph_0}$, where $a = \mathsf{c}^{w(s)} = 2^{\gamma_\alpha \aleph_0}$. The family Ω of all such distinct η, which has σ-additive extensions onto $Bf(s)$ has the cardinality $|\Omega| = \mathsf{c}^{w(s)}$, where $w(s) = \gamma_\alpha \aleph_0$ and $Bf(s)$ denotes the Borel σ-field of s, since $|\mathbf{Z_p}| = \mathsf{c}$ and each such η is characterised by a countable family of atoms a_j such that $\lim_{j \to \infty} \eta(a_j) = 0$ (see Ch. 7 [22]). Therefore, s has an embedding into $\mathbf{Z_p}^a = \mathbf{F_2}^a$. The family Ω is a subfamily of a family Υ of all ring homomorphisms η. Another way to realize a totally disconnected compactification γs of s is in the consideration of the space $H := C^0_b(s, \mathbf{Q_p})$ of bounded continuous functions $f : s \to \mathbf{Q_p}$. To each $x \in s$ there corresponds a continuous linear functional $x' \in H^*$ such that $x'(f) = f(x)$ and $\|x'\| = 1$ due to Hahn-Banach Theorem, since $\mathbf{Q_p}$ is spherically complete, where H^* denotes the Banach space of continuous linear functionals on H. In view of Alaoglu-Bourbaki Theorem (see Exer. 9.202 [19]) the unit ball $U := B(H^*, 0, 1)$ is $\sigma(H^*, H)$-compact. Therefore, the closure γs of $\gamma(s)$ in U is compact, where γ is an embedding of s into U. From this construction we have $\gamma s = \mathbf{Z_p}^a$. From Example 9.3.3 [19] it follows that the Stone-Čhech compactification of $\theta(s)$ is equal to $[0, 1]^a$. Then $s^\zeta = \gamma s$, since $d(s^\zeta) = d(s)$ and due to Hewitt-Marczewski-Pondiczeri Theorem [3] $\mathbf{Z_p^a} \subset s^\zeta \subset \mathbf{Z_p^a}$.

5.2. Theorem. *For each locally connected uniform space* (Y, V) *there exists an ultrauniform space* (X, U) *and a continuous quotient mapping* $\theta : X \to Y$ *such that*

(i) $\theta(X) = Y$, $d(X) = d(Y)$, $w(X) = w(Y)$;

(ii) *if* Y *is dense in itself, then* X *is dense in itself;*

(iii) *if* $Y = \bigoplus_j Y_j$*, then* $X = \bigoplus_j X_j$*;*

(iv) *there exist natural compactifications* cX *and* $c_\theta Y$ *such that* θ *has a continuous extension on* cX *and* $\theta(cX) = c_\theta Y$*;*

(v) *if* Y *has a* n*-dimensional polyhedral expansion over* $\mathbf{R}$*, then* X *has a* n*-dimensional polyhedral expansion over* $\mathbf{L}$*.*

Proof. From the proof of Theorems 2.4 and 5.1 it follows that there exists a topological embedding of Y into a subspace Z of H^*, where $H := \tilde{C}^0_b(Y, \mathbf{R})$, such that Z is isomorphic with $c_0(\mathbf{R}, ord(Y))$, where $ord(Y)$ is an ordinal associated with Y, $Z = cl(sp_{\mathbf{R}} Y)$, the closure of the linear span of Y over $\mathbf{R}$ is taken in H^* (see also [3, 8, 15]). Therefore, polyhedra of a polyhedral expansion of Y can be realized in Z. To each simplex q in $c_0(\mathbf{R}, ord(Y))$ there corresponds a simplex s in $c_0(\mathbf{Q_p}, ord(Y))$ such that q is a quotient space of s and $dim_{\mathbf{R}} q = dim_{\mathbf{Q_p}} s$. Let $\tilde{Y}$ be a completion of Y and Q_α be polyhedra of the polyhedral expansion of $\tilde{Y}$. Then there are uniform polyhedra P_α such that $\tilde{X} = \lim\{P_\alpha, f^\alpha_\beta, E\}$ and $\tilde{\theta}(\tilde{X}) = \lim\{Q_\alpha, \tilde{f}^\alpha_\beta, E\} = \tilde{Y}$, where $\tilde{f}^\alpha_\beta := \tilde{\theta}_\beta \circ f^\alpha_\beta \circ \tilde{\theta}^{-1}_\alpha$: and then analogously to the proof of Theorem 5.1 we get statements of Theorem 5.2, where $X = \tilde{\theta}^{-1}(Y)$.

5.3. Corollary. *Let spaces* X *and* Y *be infinite as in Theorems 5.1, 5.2, then for absolute polyhedral expansions* $T = \{P_\alpha, f^\alpha_\beta, \mathsf{U}\}$ *of* X *and* $S = \{Q_\alpha, g^\alpha_\beta, \mathsf{V}\}$ *of* Y *there is equality* $card(\mathsf{U}) = card(\mathsf{V})$*.*

Proof. In view of Theorems 5.1 and 5.2 to the inverse mapping system $T_X = \{P_\alpha, f^\alpha_\beta, \mathsf{U}_X\}$ there corresponds the inverse mapping system $T_Y = \{Q_\alpha, \tilde{f}^\alpha_\beta, \mathsf{U}_X\}$ such that $X = \lim T_X$ and $Y = \lim T_Y$, where P_α and Q_α are polyhedra over $\mathbf{L}$ and $\mathbf{R}$ respectively. The bonding mappings f^α_β are simplexial, but $\tilde{f}^\alpha_\beta$ are not simplexial. Using permissible modifications (see §2.8, Lemmas 3.2 and 3.8) we get a polyhedral expansion $W = \{Z_\alpha, h^\alpha_\beta, F\}$ of Y such that h^α_β are simplexial and Z_α are polyhedra. Moreover, $card(F) = card(\mathsf{U}_X)\aleph_0 = card(\mathsf{U}_X)$, since polyhedra Q_α are metrizable. Using sections of the polyhedral expansion for Y we get S with $card(\mathsf{U}) = card(\mathsf{V})$. Vice versa, using S we get S_X, then with the help of permissible modifications we construct by S_X polyhedral expansion of X and using sections of spectrum we get an absolute polyhedral expansion for X.

5.4. Note. Certainly there is not in general a mapping of T into S or vice versa for T and S from §5.3.

Each simplex s is clopen in its polyhedra P over $\mathbf{L}$, but in general P may be not open in the corresponding Banach space, because may be cases of s in

P such that $dim_{\mathbf{L}} s < dim_{\mathbf{L}} P = \sup_{s \subset P} dim_{\mathbf{L}} s$. There is the following particular case of spaces X, when in their polyhedral expansions $S = \{P_n, f^n_m, E\}$ polyhedra P_n are clopen in the corresponding Banach spaces.

5.5. Let H be a locally $\mathbf{K}$-convex space, where $\mathbf{K}$ is a non-Archimedean field. Let M be a manifold modelled on H and $At(M) = \{(U_j, f_j) : j \in A\}$ be an atlas of M such that $card(A) \le w(H)$, where $f_j : U_j \to V_j$ are homeomorphisms, U_j are open in M, V_j are open in H, $\bigcup_{j \in A} U_j = M$, $f_i \circ f_j^{-1}$ are continuous on $f_j(U_i \cap U_j)$ for each $U_i \cap U_j \ne \emptyset$. Let $\tilde{\mathbf{K}}$, $\tilde{H}$ and $\tilde{M}$ denote completions of $\mathbf{K}$, H and M relative to their uniformities.

Theorem. *If H is infinite-dimensional over $\mathbf{K}$ or $\tilde{\mathbf{K}}$ is not locally compact, then M is homeomorphic to the clopen subset of H.*

Proof. Since $\tilde{H}$ is the complete locally $\tilde{\mathbf{K}}$-convex space, then $\tilde{H} = pr - \lim\{\tilde{H}_q, \pi^q_v, F\}$ is a projective limit of Banach spaces $\tilde{H}_q$ over $\tilde{\mathbf{K}}$, where $q \in F$, F is an ordered set, $\pi^q_v : \tilde{H}_q \to \tilde{H}_v$ are linear continuous epimorphisms. Therefore, each clopen subset W in $\tilde{H}$ has a decomposition $W = \lim\{W_q, \pi^q_v, F\}$, where $W_q = \pi^q_v(W)$ are clopen in $\tilde{H}_q$. The base of topology of $\tilde{M}$ consists of clopen subsets. If $W \subset V_j$, then $f_j^{-1}(W)$ has an analogous decomposition. From this and Proposition 2.5.6 [3] it follows, that $\tilde{M} = \lim\{\tilde{M}_q, \tilde{\pi}^q_v, F\}$, where $\tilde{M}_q$ are manifolds on $\tilde{H}_q$ with continuous bonding mappings between charts of their atlases. If H is infinite-dimensional over $\mathbf{K}$, then each $\tilde{H}_q$ is infinite-dimensional over $\tilde{\mathbf{K}}$ [19]. From $card(A) \le w(H)$ it follows, that each $\tilde{M}_q$ has an atlas $At'(\tilde{M}_q) = \{U'_{j,q}; f_{j,q}; A'_q\}$ equivalent to $At(\tilde{M}_q)$ such that $card(A'_q) \le w(H_q) = w(\tilde{H}_q)$, since $w(\tilde{H}) = w(H)$, where $At(\tilde{M}_q)$ is induced by $At(\tilde{M})$ by the quotient mapping $\tilde{\pi}_q : \tilde{M} \to \tilde{M}_q$. In view of Theorem 2 [16] each $\tilde{M}_q$ is homeomorphic to a clopen subset $\tilde{S}_q$ of $\tilde{H}_q$, where $h_q : \tilde{M}_q \to \tilde{S}_q$ are homeomorphisms. To each clopen ball $\tilde{B}$ in $\tilde{H}_q$ there corresponds a clopen ball $B = \tilde{B} \cap H_q$ in H_q, hence $S_q = \tilde{S}_q \cap H_q$ is clopen in H_q and $h_q : M_q \to S_q$ is a homeomorphism. Therefore, M is homeomorphic to a closed subset V of H, where $h : M \to V$ is a homeomorphism, $V \subset H$, $h = \lim\{id, h_q, F\}$, $id : F \to F$ is the identity mapping. Since each h_q is surjective, then h is surjective by Lemma 2.5.9 [3]. If $x \in M$, then $\tilde{\pi}_q(x) = x_q \in M_q$, where $\pi : H \to H_q$ are linear quotient mappings and $\tilde{\pi}_q : M \to M_q$ are induced quotient mappings. Therefore, each $x \in M$ has a neighbourhood $\tilde{\pi}_q^{-1}(Y_q)$, where Y_q is an open neighbourhood of x_q in M_q. Therefore, $h(M) = V$ is open in H.

5.6. Theorem. *Let M be a manifold satisfying conditions of Theorem*

5.5, where $\mathbf{K}$ *is with non-trivial valuation,* M *and* $\mathbf{K}$ *are complete relative to their own uniformities. Then* M *has a polyhedral expansion* $S = \{P_n, f^n_m, E\}$ *consisting of polyhedra* P_n *clopen in the corresponding Banach spaces* H_q *over* $\mathbf{K}$*.*

Proof. We treat the case of non-complete $\mathbf{K}$ also. Let $\tilde{\mathbf{K}}$ be a completion of $\mathbf{K}$ relative to its uniformity. If $\tilde{\mathbf{K}}$ is not locally compact then $\tilde{\mathbf{K}}$ contains a proper locally compact subfield $\tilde{\mathbf{L}}$ [22]. Since M is homeomorphic to a clopen subset of H, then a completion $\tilde{M}$ of M is homeomorphic to a clopen subset in a completion $\tilde{H}$ of H, where $\tilde{H}$ is over $\tilde{\mathbf{K}}$. If $\tilde{\mathbf{L}}$ is a locally compact subfield of $\tilde{\mathbf{K}}$, then $\tilde{\mathbf{L}}$ is spherically complete and $\tilde{H}$ can be considered as the topological vector space over $\tilde{\mathbf{L}}$ [19, 22]. By Lemmas and Theorems of §3 we can choose P_n clopen in the corresponding Banach spaces over $\tilde{\mathbf{L}}$. We also can choose polyhedra over $\tilde{\mathbf{K}}$, repeating proofs for $\tilde{M}$ over $\tilde{\mathbf{K}}$. In §3 polyhedra over locally compact field were chosen that to encompass cases of compact spaces X, but polyhedra can be considered also over $\tilde{\mathbf{K}}$. For compact X and non locally compact $\tilde{\mathbf{K}}$ such polyhedral expansions have little sense, since discrete P_n with singletons s as simplexes may appear such that $dim_{\tilde{\mathbf{K}}} s = 0$. But for the manifold $\tilde{M}$ due to embedding into $\tilde{H}$ as the clopen subset we get the polyhedral expansion such that P_n are non-trivial over $\tilde{\mathbf{K}}$, moreover there exists a polyhedral expansion such that P_n are clopen in the corresponding Banach spaces $\tilde{H}_q$ for each n. Using absolute polyhedral expansions constructed with the help of sections of the initial polyhedral expansion of $\tilde{M}$ from §4, we get polyhedral expansions of M over $\tilde{\mathbf{L}}$ and also over $\tilde{\mathbf{K}}$. In a particular case of complete $\mathbf{L}$ and $\mathbf{K}$ relative to their uniformities the manifold M is complete together with H, that is $\tilde{M} = M$ and $\tilde{H} = H$, consequently, M as the topological space is homeomorphic to $\lim S$.

The author expresses his gratefulness to Professor G. Tironi for his interest in this job and hospitality at Mathematical Department of Trieste University since 24 May until 24 October 1999, also to Professor V.V. Fedorchuk at the Mathematical Department of the Moscow State University for careful reading of the manuscript.

References

[1] H.H. Corson, J.R. Isbell. "Some properties of strong uniformities." Quart. J. Math. 1960, V. **11**, 17-33.

[2] S. Eilenberg, N. Steenrod. "Foundations of algebraic topology" (Princ. Univ. Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1952).

[3] R. Engelking. "General topology" (Mir: Moscow, 1986).

[4] V.V. Fedorchuk, A.Ch. Chigogidze. "Absolute retracts and infinte-dimensional manifolds" (Nauka: Moscow, 1992).

[5] H. Freudenthal. "Entwicklungen von Räumen und ihren Gruppen." Compositio Mathem. 1937, V. **4**, 145-234.

[6] J.R. Isbell. "Euclidean and weak uniformities." Pacif. J. Math. 1958, V. **8**, 67-86.

[7] J.R. Isbell. "On finite-dimensional uniform spaces." Pacif. J. Math. 1959, V. **9**, 107-121.

[8] J.R. Isbell. "Irreducible polyhedral expansions." Indagationes Mathematicae. Ser. A. 1961, V. **23**, 242-248.

[9] J.R. Isbell. "Uniform neighborhood retracts." Pacif. J. Math. 1961, V. **11**, 609-648.

[10] J.R. Isbell. "Uniform spaces." Mathem. Surveys. 1964, V. **12**, AMS. Providence, R.I., USA.

[11] G. Itzkowitz, S. Rothman, H. Strassberg, T.S. Wu. "Characterisation of equivalent uniformities in topological groups." Topology and its applications. 1992, V. **47**, 9-34.

[12] I.M. Kozlovsky. "Absolute polyhedral expansions of metric spaces." Trudy Moskov. Mat. Obscestva. 1979, V. **40**, 83-119.

[13] S.V. Ludkovsky. "Free locally convex spaces generated by locally compact groups." Usp. Mat. Nauk. 1993, V. **48**, N 2, 189-190.

[14] S.V. Ludkovsky. "Free locally convex spaces and their isomoprphisms." Usp. Mat. Nauk. 1994, V. **49**, N 6, 207-208.

[15] S.V. Ludkovsky. "Non-Archimedean free Banach spaces." Fundam. i Prikl. Mathem. 1995, V. **1**, 979-987.

[16] S.V. Ludkovsky. "Embeddings of non-Archimedean Banach manifolds into non-Archimedean Banach spaces." Usp. Mat. Nauk. 1998, V. **53**, N 5, 241-242.

[17] S.V. Ludkovsky. "Non-Archimedean polyhedral expansions of ultrauniform spaces." Usp. Mat. Nauk. 1999, V. **54**, N 5, 163-164.

[18] D. Montgomery, L. Zippin. "Topological transformation groups" (J. Wiley and Sons: New York, 1955).

[19] L. Narici, E. Beckenstein. "Topological vector spaces" (Marcel-Dekker Inc., New York, 1985).

[20] B.A. Pasynkov. "About spectral expansions of topological spaces." Mathem. Sborn. 1965, V. **66**, N 1, 35-79.

[21] L.S. Pontryagin. "Continuous groups" (Nauka: Moscow, 1984).

[22] A.C.M. van Rooij. "Non-Archimedean functional analysis" (Marcel Dekker: New York, 1978).

[23] A. Weil. "Basic number theory" Sec. Edit. (Springer: Berlin, 1973).